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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>Bacille Calmette-Guérin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>Crude birth rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>Civil Insurance Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19</td>
<td>Coronavirus disease 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD</td>
<td>Continuing professional development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>Demographic and Health Surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoS</td>
<td>Department of Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPT</td>
<td>Diphtheria, Pertussis, and Tetanus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>Exceptional Medical Care Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EmONC</td>
<td>Emergency obstetric and newborn care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fafo</td>
<td>Fafo Institute for Labour and Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFS</td>
<td>Fee for service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGD</td>
<td>Focus group discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCC</td>
<td>Gulf Cooperation Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCFF</td>
<td>Global Concessional Financing Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>Gross domestic product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoJ</td>
<td>Government of Jordan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAKIM</td>
<td>A computerized system to capture the utilization of services by patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFA</td>
<td>Health facility assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHC</td>
<td>High Health Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Human immunodeficiency virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP</td>
<td>Health provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRH</td>
<td>Human Resources for Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSSAG</td>
<td>Health Sector Strategic Advisory Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Medical Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC</td>
<td>Infection prevention and control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPMC</td>
<td>Implementing Partners Management Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRC</td>
<td>International Rescue Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JHAS</td>
<td>Jordan Health Aid Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JHCAC</td>
<td>Jordan Health Care Accreditation Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JLMPS</td>
<td>Jordan Labor Market Panel Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPFH</td>
<td>Jordan Population and Family Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRP</td>
<td>Jordan Response Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KII</td>
<td>Key informant interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA</td>
<td>Multi-Donor Account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MENA</td>
<td>Middle East and North Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFT</td>
<td>Multifunction team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mhGAP</td>
<td>WHO Mental Health Gap Action Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoF</td>
<td>Ministry of Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoH</td>
<td>Ministry of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPIC</td>
<td>Ministry of Planning and International Cooperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOU</td>
<td>Memorandum of Understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSF</td>
<td>Médecins Sans Frontières/Doctors Without Borders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCDs</td>
<td>Non-communicable Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Non-governmental organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPFAM</td>
<td>Pan Arab Project for Family Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHA</td>
<td>Private Hospitals Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHCC</td>
<td>Primary healthcare center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTSD</td>
<td>Post-traumatic stress disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRP6</td>
<td>Sixth Regional Response Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRH</td>
<td>Sexual and reproductive health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFR</td>
<td>Total fertility rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHC</td>
<td>Universal health coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNFPA</td>
<td>United Nations Population Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>United Nations Children's Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNRWA</td>
<td>United Nations Relief and Works Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAID</td>
<td>United States Agency for International Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USD</td>
<td>United States Dollars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 1
BACKGROUND: UNDERSTANDING THE DISPLACED AND HOST POPULATION, AND THE POLITICAL CONTEXT OF DISPLACEMENT

1. INTRODUCTION

Since 1948, the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan has accepted refugees from multiple neighboring countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region during conflict. In 2003, the war in Iraq resulted in a large influx of refugees in Jordan. In response, the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), along with local grassroots organizations, began working towards meeting the needs of the refugees. Additionally, the ongoing civil war in Syria, which began in 2011, forced hundreds of thousands of Syrians to flee their country and seek international protection in neighboring countries. Today, Jordan hosts over 760,000 registered refugees from several countries including, but not limited to, Iraq and Syria rendering Jordan the country with the second highest refugee population per capita. The large influx of Syrian refugees to Jordan has placed enormous pressure on the country and its host communities.

Though Jordan has been mostly untarnished by the violence that swept the region following the Arab Spring in 2010, the resultant regional unrest has impacted its economy [1]. In 2018 protests erupted across Jordan calling for economic reforms which the government attempted to mask with delayed tax hikes, and extra Gulf aid [2]. While Jordan continues accepting refugees, the large influx from Syria substantially strains national resources and infrastructure [2].
Despite many Syrians seeking refuge in several countries in Europe and North America, most have sought refuge in neighboring countries, such as Lebanon, Jordan, and Turkey [3]. Thus, several United Nations (U.N.) agencies work closely with the government of Jordan and other national and international partners in providing protection and assistance to refugees and asylum seekers, as well as to Jordanian communities affected by the refugee influx.

2. DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

The Jordanian population consists of approximately 10,371,040 inhabitants as of 2022 [4]. 98 percent of Jordanians are Arabs, while the remaining 2 percent are other ethnic minorities. Around 2.9 million are non-citizens, a figure including refugees, and legal and irregular immigrants [5]. Jordan’s annual population growth rate stood at 2.05 percent in 2017, with an average of three children per woman.

Jordan has been historically known to have hosted several waves of refugees since 1948 which include Palestinian, Iraqi, and more recently Syrian refugees [6]. The Syrian population is more nuanced and complex due to the legal aspect of locating and registering Syrian refugees [7]. Jordan is home to 2,175,491 Palestinian refugees; the majority of which, but not all, were granted Jordanian citizenship [7]. Since 2011, over 1.4 million Syrian refugees have fled to Jordan to escape the violence in Syria, the largest population being in the Zaatari refugee camp [7]. The kingdom has continued to demonstrate hospitality, despite the substantial strain of the flux of Syrian refugees on the country. The impact is largely affecting Jordanian communities, as the vast majority of Syrian refugees do not live in camps [7]. The effects of the refugee crisis include competition for job opportunities, water resources, and other state-provided services, along with the strain placed on the national infrastructure. Most registered Syrian refugees reside in host communities in Jordan, particularly in urban areas (84 percent of refugees), with only a smaller subset of Syrian nationals residing in three camps (17.3 percent) [7, 8] (Figure 1). As of April 31, 2022, 674,458 Syrian refugees were officially registered with the UNHCR office in Jordan [9] (Table 1 and Figure 2).
Figure 1. Categories of Syrian refugees in Jordan.

Table 1. Number of registered Syrian refugees by the site of residence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number of Syrian refugees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refugees from Syria (in-camp)</td>
<td>132,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refugees from Syria (out-of-campus)</td>
<td>542,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>674,458</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UNHCR 2022 (Last updated 30 Apr 2022)
2.1. Host population

According to the 2017-2018 Jordan Population and Family Health Survey (JPFH survey) [10], approximately half (53 percent) of the population in Jordan is aged 24 years or below. The average household size in Jordan was 4.7 members [10]. Syrians in Jordan had a household size of 5.3 persons, which is higher than the overall Jordanian population. The Syrian mean household size remains unchanged compared with
the latest Jordanian census carried out in 2015 [11]. Median household size is comparable within camps and out-of-camp, with in-camp slightly lower at 4.9 individuals per household. Jordanian children under 18 were more likely to live with both parents than their Syrian counterparts (91.3 percent and 81.2 percent respectively) [12].

2.2. Displaced population(s)

A separate survey conducted in 2017-2018 by the Department of Statistics (DoS) and Fafo studied the demographics of Syrian refugees in Jordan [11]. 97 percent of participants were Syrians registered with the UNHCR. Data was collected from 40,950 individuals and 7,653 households. In comparison with the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) survey, the Syrian population is much younger than the overall population in Jordan. 48 percent of Syrians are aged below 15 years of age. The Syrian population in Jordan is also younger than Syrians living in their local country before the war. Based on two separate surveys in Syria, 38 percent of the population was aged less than 15 years of age. It is noteworthy that 48 percent of Syrian refugees in Jordan originated from one rural area in Syria, Dara’a. In the Dara’a area, 44 percent of the population was under 15 years of age, based on the latest survey carried out in 2009 [11].

3. POLITICAL CONTEXT

3.1. Legal status of refugees in Jordan

According to a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) signed in 1998 between the UNHCR and the Government of Jordan (GoJ), asylum seekers can remain in Jordan for six months after recognition, during which time the UNHCR has to find a resettlement country for them [13].

While Jordan is not a signatory to the UN 1951 Geneva Convention on Refugees, Article 21 of the Jordanian Constitution prohibits the extradition of “political refugees” [14]. Law No. 24 of 1973 on Residence and Foreigners’ Affairs requires that those entering the country as political asylum seekers present themselves at a police station within 48 hours of their arrival. While Article 31 of this Law grants the Minister of the Interior the authority to determine on a case-by-case basis whether persons that entered illegally will be deported, it still fails to outline clear conditions under which individuals will be eligible for asylum [15]. It also does not impose any sanctions against asylum seekers who entered the country illegally [15].
Refugees in Jordan do not automatically acquire residency, employment, public education, or healthcare rights. Foreigners cannot live in the country without acquiring a residency permit; such permits in most cases are valid for one year only and subject to renewal [16]. These permits are granted in very limited numbers to refugees. As per the UNHCR, only 30 percent of Iraqi refugees are granted residency permits, and a mere 300,000 Syrian refugees had working permits in Jordan by 2017 [16, 17].

The Jordanian Ministry of Labor additionally published a list of professions and industries in which only Jordanian citizens are allowed to work. These include medical, engineering, administrative, accounting, and clerical professions; telephone and warehouse employment; sales; education; hairdressing; decorating; fuel sales; electrical and mechanical occupations; guards; drivers; and construction workers.

3.2. International political will and interests

Between 2014 and 2015, over one million people crossed into Europe to escape conflict from the MENA region [18]. The sudden influx of incoming refugees and asylum seekers sparked both a humanitarian and political crisis as Europe struggled and continues to struggle to respond. Due to an interest in keeping refugees in host communities in the MENA region, a significant share of the continent’s European Union (E.U.) Regional Trust Fund in Response to the Syrian Crisis has been given to these host communities. The Trust Fund reinforces an integrated E.U. aid response to the crisis and primarily addresses longer-term resilience and needs to enhance the self-reliance of Syrian refugees. At the same time, it contributes to easing the pressure on host communities and the administrations in neighboring countries such as Iraq, Jordan, Lebanon, and Turkey [19]. Since 2014, the Fund has underpinned the E.U. Compacts agreed with Jordan and Lebanon to better assist them in the protracted refugee crisis. The Fund mobilized over €400 million for Jordan in 2015 [19].

In 2019, the E.U. adopted a new €297 million assistance package to support refugees and host communities in Jordan and Lebanon, via the E.U. Regional Trust Fund in Response to the Syrian Crisis [19]. The E.U. has also decided to extend the mandate of the Trust Fund to allow the Trust Fund’s projects to run until the end of 2023 [19].
More recently, as part of the E.U.’s global response to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, the E.U. Regional Trust Fund in Response to the Syrian Crisis has mobilized an additional €55 million for refugees from Syria and vulnerable persons in Jordan and Lebanon to fight the pandemic. It will provide critical and targeted support in key areas such as health, water, sanitation, and hygiene. The newly adopted package brings the total assistance mobilized through the E.U. Trust Fund to over €2.2 billion since 2015, doubling the target originally set [20].

Jordan received €20.1 million in total: (1) €11 million to contribute to the COVID-19 national response plan, in particular for procurement of medical equipment; (2) €4 million to support essential water and sanitation services and hygiene kits in refugee camps and for vulnerable host communities; (3) €3.6 million to strengthen ongoing health and social protection to Palestine refugees from Syria and host communities; and (4) €1.5 million to support further equipping three emergency departments in hospitals to face the pandemic [20].

4. EPIDEMIOLOGIC PROFILE

4.1. Non-communicable Diseases (NCDs)

An increasing prevalence of non-communicable Diseases (NCDs) is evident among Syrian refugees, however, data on NCDs among the Syrian population before the conflict is scarce, making it difficult to assess the shift in prevalence. According to Rehr et al., old age and lower education were most strongly associated with the prevalence of NCDs. Approximately 21.8 percent of Syrian refugees residing in northern Jordan (post-conflict) suffer from at least one NCD; hypertension (14 percent) and diabetes (9.2 percent) were the most prevalent NCDs [21]. In comparison, the Syrian population, before the conflict, estimates 20.3 percent and 10.1 percent hypertension and diabetes prevalence, respectively. Research studies point to a rise in NCDs among the Syrian refugee population in Jordan since 2011, particularly in urban areas [12] (Table 2). The national-level data for other types of NCDs (such as chronic cardiovascular and respiratory conditions) is scarce.
Table 2. Prevalence of non-communicable diseases among non-camp Syrian refugees in northern Jordan [22].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>Diabetes (type I/II)</th>
<th>Cardiovascular conditions</th>
<th>Chronic respiratory conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-39 years</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-59 years</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60 years</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary &amp; higher</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location of residence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4.2. Fertility and birth rate**

According to the JPFH 2017-2018 survey, the crude birth rate (CBR) per 1,000 population was calculated based on data collected between 2014-2018 [22]. CBR was slightly higher in the rural population (23.7) when compared with the urban population (21.3), with a total CBR of 21.6 across various geographical areas [22]. There has been a decline in the Total Fertility Rate (TFR) among the Jordanian population in recent years. The TFR currently stands at 2.7 children per woman according to the JPFH 2017-2018 survey, with a fertility peak in the age group 25-29 years old [22]. A noticeable decline has followed a relatively stable TFR (3.5-3.8 children per woman) reported between 2002 and 2012. Syrian women in Jordan are generally younger than the pre-crisis population and have higher fertility rates. According to the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), each month, an average of 2,000 Syrian refugee children are born in Jordan; and many new Syrian mothers and their infants in Jordan lack access to appropriate maternal and newborn healthcare. By 2017, over half of all under-five deaths in Jordan occurred in the neonatal period [23]. Syrian women, on average, have a much higher number of children (4.7) when compared with Jordanian women.
A decline in TFR nationally in Jordan, as well as a discrepancy in TFR between Syrian and Jordanian women, was also reported in the Jordan Labor Market Panel Surveys (JLMPS) [24]. Syrian refugees showed a higher TFR (4.4) compared with Jordanians (3.3) [24]. The national total TFR in 2016 was 3.4 births per woman [7]. However, it is noteworthy to mention that before the conflict in 2011, Syrian national TFR was lower by an average of 1.4 persons (3.3) compared to a TFR of 4.7 persons reported in the JPFH survey [25]. It has been reported by several sources such as the World Bank and the Pan Arab Project for Family Health (PAPFAM) that TFR was on the decline pre-conflict [26]. The high TFR among Syrian refugees compared with national data on Syrians pre-conflict and Jordanians can be explained by the fact that, following the crisis in 2011, around half of the Syrian refugees living outside of camps and 85 percent of those living in Zaatari camp fled from Dar’aa, where the TFR is much higher than the national average [27]. When compared by wealth, TFR was highest in the poorest households and lowest in the wealthier households [28]. When considering education, women with no education (2.1 children per woman) or higher education (2.4 children per woman) have the lowest TFR while women with elementary education had the highest TFR (3.7 children) [28]. In terms of age at marriage, Syrian refugee women were twice as likely to get married before the age of 18 compared to Jordanian women. 10 percent of Jordanians were married before 18 years of age, compared with 20 percent of Syrian refugee women. Marrying before the age of 18 is associated with poorer economic and health outcomes due to poor financial circumstances and health issues caused by the crisis [29].

4.3. Child mortality

Most child mortality metrics examined yielded a similar trend, with under-five child mortality being higher among Syrian refugees (25 deaths/1,000) compared with Jordanian nationals (16 deaths/1,000) [30]. Infant mortality, defined by the JPFH survey as the probability of death between age 0 and 1 year old, was also higher among Syrian mothers (24 deaths/1,000) compared with Jordanian mothers (14 deaths/1,000) [30]. The perinatal mortality rate is calculated as the number of deaths per 1,000 pregnancies at seven or more months. Syrian women experienced higher perinatal mortality rates (20 deaths/1,000 births) compared with women of Jordanian nationality (13 deaths/1,000 births). Pre-conflict data for perinatal mortality was not available.

Before the Syrian crisis in 2011, the under-five mortality rate in Syria (16.3 deaths/1,000 births) was closer to Jordanian mothers’ mortality rates reported in 2018 (16.3) [31]. Antenatal care and skilled birth
were both higher before the conflict [31]. Qualified maternal delivery was at 96 percent for Syrians pre-conflict [32]. In recent years, likely through humanitarian support, the JPFH survey reported somewhat high-qualified maternal delivery for Syrian refugees, at 94 percent [32]. Maternal mortality data was not available for Syrian refugees.

4.4. Vaccination

Humanitarian emergencies may lead to major and possibly continuous disruption of vaccination services provided through primary healthcare, resulting in a drop in vaccination coverage [33]. Basic vaccination coverage is defined in the JPFH survey as one Bacille Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccine; three diphtheria, pertussis, and tetanus (DPT) vaccines; three polio vaccines; and one dose of the measles vaccine [34]. In Jordan, basic vaccination varies by governorate and nationality. Among children aged 12-23 months old, the rate of coverage ranged from 64 percent (Ma'an) to 91 percent (Ajloun) [34]. Compared to Jordanian children, Syrian refugee children had a 12 percent lower coverage rate. This coverage rate however could be higher given the fact that 27 percent of total participants were asked to recall vaccines undertaken without reviewing vaccine cards. To address low vaccination coverage rates, UNICEF, the Ministry of Health (MoH) in Jordan, and UNHCR are currently rolling out an intervention to assess whether a smartphone app may increase coverage rates [35]. Results have yet to be shared. To date, no major infectious disease epidemics have occurred in Jordan, but outbreaks have appeared and risks are increasing [36].

4.5. Mental health

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), only an estimated 305 individuals per 100,000 inhabitants are diagnosed with mental illness [37], which stipulates an existing diagnostic and treatment gap. As a consequence, rates of psychological distress (39 percent) and prevalence of mental health disorders (26.3 percent) are considered high-ranking in Jordan [38]. Estimates of the prevalence and burden of mental disorders in Jordan are limited. The majority of existing information focuses on adolescents, for whom symptoms of anxiety, depression, conduct problems, and hyperactivity are common [39]. A nationally representative survey of adolescents in schools reported that 41 percent of females and 26 percent of males reported moderate to severe depressive symptoms [40]. Most mental healthcare in Jordan is provided through hospital-based services. In 2010, the WHO trained primary care providers in mental healthcare adapted intervention and training guidelines to the Jordanian context and promoted the implementation of community-based services for mental health as part of the Mental Health Gap Action Program (mhGAP) [41]. Despite
these efforts, stigma remains high among healthcare providers, as well as patients with mental disorders, limiting the provision and seeking of mental health services [41]. Jordanian adults in Amman who have received psychotherapy services report high rates of satisfaction despite high levels of self-stigma [42].

Refugees are especially vulnerable to mental illnesses being exposed to many distressing and potentially traumatic events such as war, sexual violence, dangers faced while fleeing their homeland, and risks associated with being confined in camps or detention centers. It comes as no surprise that refugees have been shown to experience elevated rates of mental health problems, including depression, anxiety, suicide risk, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [43]. There is a sizeable variation in reported prevalence estimates of mental health disorders and symptoms among conflict-affected Syrians (both in Syria and surrounding countries) [43]. Common symptoms of distress related to depression, prolonged grief, post-traumatic stress disorder, and anxiety [44]. However, psychiatric diagnostic terminology (e.g., depression, anxiety) may not be culturally relevant in Syrian culture and stigma may preclude individuals from identifying their symptoms as mental health problems. In clinical settings, distressed Syrians often use indirect expressions when asked about their current well-being. Dignity is a salient concept related to Syrian identity that affects emotional reactions, coping strategies, feelings of shame, and interpersonal relationships [45].

5. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The main objectives of the study are to understand how the health system responded to the immediate and longer-term needs of displaced populations and host communities, and how healthcare utilization, healthcare costs, and healthcare spending vary between host and displaced populations. In addition, the study aims to explore how the health financing system responded to the needs of displaced populations and what could be done to improve it.
CHAPTER 2
HOW THE HEALTH SYSTEM HAS ADAPTED OVER TIME TO MEET THE NEEDS OF THE DISPLACED POPULATION, AND HOW THIS COMPARES TO THE HOST POPULATION’S EXPERIENCES OF THE HEALTH SYSTEM

1. BACKGROUND

1.1. National health system

1.1.1. Structure and components of the national health system

The Jordanian healthcare system consists of four major entities: the public sector, the private sector, the international and charity sector, and councils and institutions.

Figure 3. Major contributors to the healthcare system in Jordan [46].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Healthcare system structure in Jordan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Private sector</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes private hospitals, diagnostic and therapeutic centers, and hundreds of private clinics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.2. National health coverage

The World Bank continues to support countries around the world, including Jordan, towards achieving universal health coverage (UHC) [47, 48]. According to the latest census carried out by the department of Statistics, in 2015 only 68 percent of Jordanian nationals and 55 percent of the overall population were insured [49]. Insurance coverage also varies by region: 86.6 percent of the Jordanian population in rural areas are health insured compared to 66.3 percent of the Jordanian population in urban areas [50]. The government sector covers 71 percent of the health insurance burden, the private sector contributes 14 percent, while the United Nations Relief and Works Agency (UNRWA) and other sectors contribute 4.2 percent and 10 percent respectively [50]. For Jordanians, the Ministry of Health Insurance is the most prevalent insurance (by about 42 percent).

While for the non-Jordanian population 38 percent are health insured under special arrangements for Syrian refugees agreed between the UNHCR and the Ministry of the Interior [50]. The population in Amman, the largest urban city in Jordan, was reported to have a relatively low insurance coverage rate (six out of ten covered) compared with other governorates such as Tafileh and Karak (nine out of ten covered) [49].

Since the first influx of Syrian refugees to Jordan in 2011, Syrians who chose to live in host communities have been subject to changing health policies. These policies directly relate to access and fees. The MoH took most of the burden with 132,432 primary-level services provided to Syrians in public health centers in 2013. MoH data indicates that the number of outpatient visits to MoH primary healthcare centers (PHCCs) by Syrian refugees increased from 68 in January 2012 to 15,975 in March 2013. Similarly, during the same period, Syrian refugees attending MoH hospitals increased from 300 to 10,330 patients. Altogether, the number of Syrian refugees served increased from 10,217 (February 2013) to 43,491 by February 2014 [51].
1.3. Healthcare services

Primary care centers in Jordan are managed by the MoH and aim to provide preventative and generalized services to the population [46]. These include services such as reproductive health, patient education, and mental health services [46].

The MoH’s National Center also nationally leads inpatient and outpatient services for mental health. Due to the social stigma associated with discussing mental health, services are scarce because of low demand and the low attractiveness of the job to healthcare professionals [52]. For these reasons, primary healthcare services are much more accessible than mental healthcare [53].

Secondary and tertiary care are services targeted at patients with specialized needs. These are less common and require greater expertise - the private and public sector provide these services. According to the 2015-2019 National Strategy for Health Sector in Jordan, the private sector has 3,998 hospital beds (33 percent of the beds in Jordan). Specialized care is financially more difficult to access for Syrian refugees in comparison to primary care [46].

Maternal, antenatal, and newborn care services are a priority in developing countries, as the quality of such services is associated with preventable deaths [54]. Maternal services are provided by the MoH, as well as through private clinics [55]. In Jordan, delivery and preventive
maternal and child services are provided free of charge to all Syrian refugees and cover vaccination, antenatal, and postnatal care [56]. Based on qualitative interviews, Syrian women expressed dissatisfaction with the quality of care in the public health sector [54]. Despite free access to maternal care in public health centers, Syrian women may still choose to seek care through private clinics. Women with higher education (84 percent) are much more likely to seek antenatal care, compared to women with lower education (55 percent) [54].

1.4. Home healthcare services

There is an emerging increase in demand for home care services in Jordan. Such services range from preventative measures, such as nutrition, to chronic disease management. The private and public sectors provide home care services [57]. Several home healthcare entities are currently licensed by the MoH across Jordan. Home visits by the MoH for chronic care were marked as a strength during WHO’s health system assessment [58]. In a recent qualitative study focused on Syrian refugees, home services were particularly sought out by elders in the context of physical disabilities [59].

1.5. Health policy timeline

Changes in health policies in Jordan are mostly relevant to Syrian refugees living outside of camps. Within the Azraq and Zaatari camps, UNHCR provides Syrian refugees with free services covering primary, secondary, and tertiary healthcare services [60]. Since 2012, three major health policy changes have affected refugees’ access to health services in Jordan, particularly those living in host communities in urban areas.

1.5.1. First major health policy changes in 2014

During the early influx of Syrian refugees to Jordan in 2012, most Syrians were settled in the Zaatari camp and were registered with UNHCR [61]. In 2014, the MoH announced major policy changes relevant to refugees, with stricter regulations. For example, refugees were not allowed to leave their camps to live in urban areas, except under unique circumstances [61]. Access to healthcare services was also affected. Before 2014, refugees registered with the UNHCR residing in host communities (outside of camps) could gain access to public health services similarly to insured Jordanians. After 2014, Syrian refugees in host communities registered with UNHCR were faced with service fee rates equivalent to those of uninsured Jordanians [62].

1.5.2. Second major health policy change in 2018

In March 2018, the UNHCR announced the “regularization” or “an amnesty” of Syrian refugees living in host communities without documentation between March and September 2018. This regularization targeted Syrian refugees who left the camp without legal authorization
after the 2014 policy change, as well as those not registered with UNHCR [61]. This specific population is estimated to encompass 30,000 to 50,000 Syrian refugees. By the end of March 2018, 22,000 Syrians signed up as part of the regularization process [61]. As a result, these so-called “regularized” Syrian refugees were promised protection from arrest, as well as greater access to jobs and educational services. However, no benefits were offered for access to healthcare services [61]. As of February 2018, regulatory changes were imposed that revoked Syrian refugees’ access to non-insured Jordanian rates for healthcare services. Instead, they would pay 80 percent of the foreigner rates, thereby placing a financial burden on Syrian refugees living in urban areas [61, 63]. Exceptions to this policy included vaccinations, treatment for communicable diseases, prenatal and postnatal maternal care, and family planning [61].

1.5.3. Health policy amendments made in 2019
In April 2019, the government retracted the 2018 health policy changes [60] However, this is only the case for refugees who present a valid UNHCR registration and Ministry of Interior service card with the area as the health facility approached [64]. Once registration expires, or if refugees are not registered at any point, they can continue to stay in Jordan, but they are required to pay the foreigners’ fee in governmental facilities, except for vaccination [64]. Drastic and swift shifts in policies toward the Syrian refugees in Jordan did generate mass confusion among the population regarding their rights, access to healthcare services, and livelihoods [65]. This has compromised UNHCR’s efforts to increase service utilization. According to the UNHCR 2015 report, only 64 percent of households knew that refugees have subsidized access to government PHCCs [65]. In contrast, 96 percent of households knew that refugees had access to free healthcare in 2014 [65].

2. RESEARCH QUESTION
How has the health system responded to the immediate and longer-term needs of displaced populations and host communities?

3. OBJECTIVES
The objective of this chapter is to assess how the health systems in Jordan responded and adapted to meet the needs of the displaced population. The chapter also aims to compare experiences of the health system between displaced and host populations, such as healthcare utilization, costs, service provision, and challenges to accessing healthcare.
4. METHODS

4.1. Key informant interviews (KIIs)

Semi-structured in-depth interviews were conducted at health facilities or online (via Zoom). To capture a range of perspectives, interviews were conducted with:

- government officials, including the MoH (planning department and health insurance entities) and the Ministry of Finance
- donors, including the World Bank
- multilateral and intergovernmental organizations, including U.N. agencies (WHO, UNHCR, and UNICEF)
- international, national, and local non-governmental organizations (NGOs); and
- civil society organizations and community leaders

The selection of individuals was based on a literature review and expert suggestions. Eighteen key informants were interviewed focusing on the health needs and disease profiles of displaced and host populations, and how they have changed over time. Interviews included questions on epidemiological, economic, demographic, and monitoring data. In addition, the questions sought to understand the extent to which data is used to measure priorities in the health sector, public policy planning and implementation, and the organization and governance of the health system, with particular attention to human resources. They also sought nuanced information on vulnerable populations and those with unmet health needs, as well as the use of informal healthcare systems.

Interviews were conducted by an experienced interviewer and a notetaker. They were then transcribed and translated by a certified translator. Two members of the research team were involved in coding the data independently and any disagreements were discussed among them and with a third member to reach a consensus. The thematic data analysis was conducted using software for qualitative data analysis (Dedoose).

4.2. Focus group discussions (FGDs)

Focus group discussions (FGDs) were conducted in health facilities and at designated places at camps in three regions (Amman, Irbid, and Mafraq). 12 FGDs were conducted with adults aged 18-65 years old, divided as follows: 1) Men from host communities; 2) women from host communities; 3) non-camp and camp Syrian refugee men, and 4) non-camp and camp Syrian refugee women. Each FGD included eight refugees/members of the host communities. The FGDs were conducted by an experienced interviewer and a notetaker. They were then transcribed and translated by a certified translator. Two members of the
research team were involved in coding the data independently and any disagreements were discussed among them and a third member to reach a consensus and thematic data analysis was conducted using software for qualitative data analysis (Dedoose). The main themes generated from the analysis of the FGDs are summarized in the table below:

Table 3. Main themes and subthemes identified from the FGDs’ thematic analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Sub-themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial barriers to seeking healthcare</td>
<td>COVID-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health services costs (provider fees, testing, and medications)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Legal work status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transportation costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main challenges and barriers to accessing healthcare</td>
<td>Financial: cost (direct and indirect)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Logistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long waiting time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social: stigma, discrimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community perceptions about the services provided</td>
<td>Healthcare workers’ attitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quality of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most important health needs and problems faced by the community</td>
<td>Mental health problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NCDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference in health services fees between Syrian refugees and Jordanian nationals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3. Health facility assessment (HFA) tool

A health facility assessment was conducted in 22 health facilities in Amman, Irbid, and Mafraq to examine health systems’ readiness and service availability. The three aforementioned governorates were chosen since they host the highest refugee population living in host communities: Amman (26 percent), Irbid (18 percent), and Al Mafraq (12 percent) [66]. Data entry was performed by two team members to ensure accuracy, followed by data cleaning and data coding. The data were analyzed using the SPSS statistical software (descriptive and cross-tabulation). Analysis was based on the WHO service availability and readiness assessment: a methodology for measuring health systems strengthening to generate a set of core indicators on key inputs and outputs of the health system, which can be used to measure progress in health system strengthening over time.

There are three focus areas of service availability and readiness assessment:
I. **Service availability** refers to the physical presence of the delivery of services and encompasses health infrastructure, core health personnel, and aspects of service utilization.

II. **General service readiness** refers to the overall capacity of health facilities to provide general health services. Readiness is defined as the availability of components required to provide services, such as basic amenities, basic equipment, standard precautions for infection prevention, diagnostic capacity, and essential medicines.

III. **Service-specific readiness** refers to the ability of health facilities to offer a specific service, and the capacity to provide that service is measured through the consideration of tracer items that include trained staff, guidelines, equipment, diagnostic capacity, and medicines and commodities.

The HFA tool focused on the following services: psychosocial and mental health readiness, immunization service readiness, measles, diagnosis and treatment services readiness, tuberculosis (TB) service availability, emergency obstetric and newborn care (EmONC), obstetric services, and family planning services.

4.4. **Health provider (HP) tool**

A health providers’ questionnaire was conducted with 200 providers from the selected 22 facilities to provide data to assess the training and capacity of health providers and support the assessment of readiness and service quality indicators. Data entry was performed by two team members to ensure accuracy, followed by data cleaning and data coding. The data were analyzed using SPSS (descriptive and cross-tabulation).

5. **RESULTS**

5.1. **Availability of services and capacity of the health facilities**

The HFA tool was used to collect data from a total sample of 22 health facilities distributed across three different governorates (Mafraq, Amman, and Zarqa) in Jordan (Figure 5). This assessment determined the availability and quality of different health services at the selected facilities, such as those dealing with NCDs, mental health, TB and measles, family planning, and EmONC, in addition to assessing the availability of equipment and medications and the facility infrastructure. The purpose is to understand the health system’s response to the immediate and longer-term needs of displaced populations and host communities.
The health facilities visited were comprehensive centers (36 percent), primary centers (27 percent), peripheral hospitals (9 percent), central hospitals (5 percent), and maternal and child health centers (5 percent) (Figure 6). Most of the health facilities were governmental (59 percent) or run by international NGOs (27 percent) (Figure 7). Half of the visited facilities served mainly Jordanian nationals and some Syrian refugees. 18 percent of the facilities served either all Syrian refugees or about half Jordanian nationals, half Syrian refugees, and only 14 percent served mainly Syrian refugees with some Jordanian nationals (Figure 8).
Figure 6. Type of health facility included as part of the Health Facility Assessment (HFA). n=22

- **Comprehensive center**: 36%
- **Primary center**: 27%
- **Peripheral hospital**: 9%
- **Maternal and Child health center**: 5%
- **Central hospital**: 5%
- **Other**: 18%

Figure 7. Type of operating agency included as part of the Health Facility Assessment (HFA). n=22

- **Government**: 59%
- **International NGO**: 27%
- **Private**: 9%
- **Local NGO**: 5%
To assess the capacity of health facilities in providing health services, a General Readiness Index was computed, which is characterized by the following five domains of tracer indicators:

- Basic amenities
- Basic equipment
- Standard precautions for infection prevention
- Diagnostic capacity
- Essential medicines.

The mean General Readiness Index among facilities was 39.5. Regarding readiness in terms of basic amenities, we found that all health facilities scored above 60 percent in this domain. For basic equipment and supplies, most health facilities did not have the basic equipment to treat patients. The score for the standard precautions for infection prevention sub-index among the 22 health facilities is above 36 percent, meaning that not all institutions have a protocol to manage infections. Concerning the diagnostic capacity, many facilities did not have the equipment to treat and diagnose malaria, measles, TB, and diabetes (Figure 9 and Table 4). The highest score obtained on the General Service domain score was 82 percent (in only one facility). Four facilities scored 64 percent, three facilities scored 54 percent, five facilities scored 45 percent, and nine facilities scored below 45 percent (Figure 10). The major types of services offered at the facilities were NCD
services (hypertension 81 percent and diabetes 77 percent), followed by family planning (68 percent), immunization (50 percent), TB, and psychosocial and mental health services (41 percent) (**Figure 11**).

**Figure 9. General Readiness Index**

---

**Table 4: Descriptive statistics of the General Readiness Index and its five sub-domains**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General readiness index sub-domains</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>P25</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>P75</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General service readiness</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>45.78</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic amenities</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic equipment and supplies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard precautions for infections</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic capacity</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17.85</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essential medicines</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48.65</td>
<td>60.55</td>
<td>76.33</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 10. General Service domain score (%) obtained by the facilities.

Figure 11. Type of services available at the health facilities.

- 41% Psychosocial and Mental Health
- 50% Immunization
- 41% Tuberculosis
- 68% Family planning
- 81% Hypertension
- 77% Diabetes
The most reported health problems faced by Syrian refugees and Jordanian nationals, revealed by the FGDs, are NCDs, mental health problems, sexual and reproductive health (SRH), and children's illnesses. The most prevalent types of NCDs found in this study were hypertension and diabetes. Other less commonly reported NCDs included heart disease, arthritis, and asthma.

“I mean, in general, the health problems, whether it is for the Syrian brothers or the Jordanian brothers, are hypertension and diabetes.”
Male Syrian refugee

Results from the KIIs showed that there is a strong correlation between the health needs and the socioeconomic status of Syrian refugees and host communities. Syrian refugees of middle-to-high socioeconomic status can access all the care they need out-of-pocket and at private hospitals, even if no funders/organizations are helping them, whereas the patients who are not financially able to sustain themselves tend to delay seeking treatment which worsens their health outcomes.

“I mean, there are Syrians who come at their expense because there are no donors to cover them, so he prefers to come to the private sector, so he is not able to do everything, except, of course, for the Syrians, who have a good financial situation.” KII participant

Regarding the availability of mental health services, only nine facilities reported providing psychosocial support and mental health services. For those that did provide these services, the data shows that the readiness to provide them was limited (Figure 12). Only 18 percent of the facilities scored 50 percent or higher on the availability of drugs to treat mental health problems, and nine facilities reported that they did not have essential psychotropics available.

Mental health problems were identified as one of the major health needs, particularly among Syrian refugees in Jordan. The majority of the FGD participants reported high levels of stress, depression, anxiety, nervousness, and insomnia. Syrian refugees reported facing mental health problems due to leaving their homes behind and seeking refuge, along with the stress that comes with being a refugee due to financial burdens, dire living conditions, and health consequences. As for
Jordanian nationals, a few reported facing mental health problems due to a lack of jobs, financial burdens, and health problems.

“No, it is possible for the new generation to forget about this. As for us, those who were 15 years old when we came here to Jordan, he would not forget about this, nor what he saw...Those who had a brother lost him, or he is not found. Most Syrians have dreams that they are still in the war and under bombardment. It happened to me.”
Male Syrian refugee

Figure 12. Psychosocial and mental health service availability indexes.
The availability of family planning services was relatively low. For instance, as shown in Figure 13, only half of the health facilities reported providing daily oral (13 facilities) contraceptive pills (12 facilities) and injectables and intra-uterine devices (11 facilities), and none of the facilities provided vasectomy. As for EmONC services, the major services reported to be provided by the facilities were antibiotics for neonatal sepsis and corticosteroids in preterm labor (36 percent) and parental antibiotics and post-abortion care and safe abortion services (32 percent) (Figure 14).

Pregnancy-related issues were frequently mentioned as major health problems faced by women in Jordan. Most women claimed a high prevalence of miscarriage and abortion as the physicians in charge would prescribe pills to induce abortion when a pregnancy seems weak instead of providing supplements. The study also identifies health-related issues among women postpartum including osteoporosis, vitamin deficiencies, anemia, postpartum hypertension, lack of contraceptive use, as well as childbirth complications, indicating the need for easily accessible and affordable care from a gynecologist.

“As for gynecological issues, women go to specialists at the hospitals. Some physicians can’t figure out if women are pregnant, some pregnancies are weak, and the only solution is abortion. Physicians can’t save the fetus, so they dispense abortion pills for women in their first trimester.” Jordanian woman
Figure 13. Availability of family planning services at the health facilities.

- Vasectomy: 0%
- Condoms: 3%
- Tubal ligation: 2%
- Implant: 6%
- Emergency contraception: 8%
- Intra uterine device: 11%
- Injectable: 12%
- Daily oral contraceptive pills: 13%

Figure 14. Emergency obstetrics and newborn services availability at the health facilities.

- Caesarean section: 0%
- Assisted vaginal delivery: 0%
- Removal of retained products of conception: 5%
- Removal of retained products of conception using misoprostol: 5%
- SAC Provided: 14%
- Parental uterotonics: 14%
- KMC (Kangaroo mother care): 14%
- Post-Abortion Care Counseling: 18%
- Parental anticonvulsants: 23%
- Normal Deliveries: 23%
- Blood transfusion: 23%
- Neonatal Resuscitation: 27%
- Manual removal of placenta: 27%
- Antibiotics for preterm or prolonged PROM: 27%
- Newborn Care: 28%
- Post-Abortion Care and Safe Abortion Services: 32%
- Parental antibiotics: 32%
- Corticosteroids in preterm labour: 36%
- Antibiotics for neonatal sepsis: 36%
5.2. Main challenges and barriers to accessing healthcare

5.2.1. Financial: cost (direct and indirect)
The main obstacle to accessing healthcare was reported to be the direct and indirect cost of health services that hinder Jordanians and Syrian refugees inside and outside the camps to received healthcare.

A Syrian male said:

“I have a disc. I cannot work... If I find a simple job, I will do it. As for the heavy work, it tires me, so I can’t do it... I did not do a disc operation Because it costs a lot.”

A Jordanian female added:

“Now I need tests that cost 100 dinars. I cannot afford it. I lump and the doctor told me I should monitor it for 6 months. But I cannot.”

It seems that children also suffer from the high cost of health services and most of the time they do not receive proper services. A Syrian mother shared her paralyzed son’s experience:

“My son is paralyzed so they told me that needles cost around 1000 dinars...I’m still looking for someone who could help. And everyone is passing through a hard time no one will offer you 1000 dinars. Can you imagine that 1000 dinars are the cost of the needles only without the surgery nor the treatment”?

Syrian refugees also have an issue with the costly health services in public hospitals as compared with Jordanians.

A Syrian female refugee commented about the cost of one of the public hospitals:

“The expenses of the medications and the examination are doubled there because I am Syrian. For example, my neighbor and I wanted
to do an X-ray, so I submitted both of our applications. She is Jordanian... so, the employee there told me that my neighbor should pay two liras, but I must pay eight liras because I’m considered a foreigner.”

These financial barriers have forced some Syrian patients to go back to Syria to receive treatment.

“Financial support is always cut off for dialysis. I mean, many people return to Syria because of this problem to receive free dialysis. Despite the difficult conditions there, there are services... families return just for dialysis because it is very expensive here.” Syrian male

5.2.2. Long waiting time
All Jordanians and Syrian refugees complain about the long waiting time needed to receive healthcare services.

“Our problem is that we want a doctor in the governmental hospitals. I mean, for example, if one needs an emergency operation within two days, they book an appointment after six to seven months. There was a patient who has been assigned an appointment in two months... He died after a week of seeking care... There are many other similar cases.” Jordanian male

A Syrian male confirmed this issue and compared the services in Jordan and those in Syria by saying about his dad:

“We’re not insured in any private hospital. It used to be through the UNHCR but not anymore... They refer him and give him an appointment after a year and a half. If we wait for a year and a half, he will die for sure...In Syria, entering the health center feels like entering a private hospital.”
The long waiting time leaves patients with no other choice but to seek private care, which is costly. A Syrian male shared his friend’s experience:

“They give my friend appointments after a year and a half. So, he had to take a loan... about one thousand and two hundred dinars loan to do the operation in a private hospital.”

5.2.3. Logistics
Another subtheme that emerged from the FGDs was the logistical barriers. Both Jordanians and Syrian refugees complain about the inadequacy of the health services provided by NGOs that urge patients sometimes to go to more than one center to get the treatment they need. In addition, the findings highlighted the impact of the difficult economic situation on patients’ health. As a result of the increased health expenses and difficult economic situation patients are prioritizing basic life needs such as food over their health issues.

One Syrian male commented:

“Caritas cannot open a file for a new Syrian patient who has chronic diseases and needs medication... so, where does he go to get it? And not all medicines are available in health centers.”

Another Syrian male refugee explained how people’s priorities shift:

“Chronic diseases are an issue that you can talk about. If NGOs or the United Nations did not help you, it is difficult for you... The problem is that changing the priorities of the Syrian refugee had led to neglecting the health status... He cannot buy the basics... The situation puts the person in a state of constant psychological pressure... The order of priorities has changed. If he wants to go and take medicine, then he wants to buy it at the expense of his basic priorities...such as the expense of providing food for his family... this is a dangerous point.”
Transportation was also one of the main barriers to seeking healthcare reported in KII s. Some Syrian refugees cannot afford the cost of transportation to and from the health facilities to get the care they need.

“Yes, the transportation also. Some people do not have enough money to pay for a taxi to get their treatment from the center. Some live in remote areas and they will have to take any means of transportation to reach here.” KII participant

5.2.4. Social stigma and discrimination
Another emerging subtheme, although not as prominent as others, was a social stigma and the discrimination against Syrian refugees by healthcare workers and some Jordanian people. The social stigma was evident against patients with sexual health problems.

“I mean, there are many people who suffer from sexual problems and are ashamed to go to a doctor. Instead, they seek close friends’ advice.”
Jordanian male

The discrimination was reflected in a delay in providing healthcare services for Syrian refugees in general.

“A while ago, an accident happened to me, my wife was bleeding... We took her to the hospital at night... The doctor heard that we were Syrians... The doctor said if you are Syrians, there is no treatment for you. He had the treatment though. We asked him for the medication, and he said not even a medication...I took this issue as personal...I mean this person hates Syrians... another doctor likes Syrians, I mean the doctor who likes Syrians serves us for free and the ones who don’t like us because of some political background refuse to treat us.” Syrian male

The issue of nepotism in receiving healthcare also emerged from the FGDs.
“God has given us nepotism, Dr. XX who was able to give us an appointment for a catheterization within three weeks...I mean, had it not been for the nepotism, my husband would not have been able to do the catheterization.” Jordanian female

“We went to the government hospital because of an emergency... They gave us an appointment four months later... People who have nepotism only benefit from these public hospitals.” Syrian refugee

In contradiction to what was reported by Syrian refugees in the FGDs, key informants reported no discrimination in treatment between Syrian refugees and Jordanian nationals. There is no difference in health needs between Syrian and Jordanians, especially Jordanians who are less advantaged and with the lowest socioeconomic status. There is no difference in the mode of communication and quality of service between Syrian refugees and Jordanians, even though most NGOs provide services for Syrian refugees more than host communities.

“Frankly, we used to treat the Syrian refugee like any patient, and we did not distinguish between a refugee or a non-refugee, or any Jordanian patient visiting the center.” KII participant

5.3 Impact of COVID-19 on health services delivery

COVID-19 has led to a decrease in the provided services at all surveyed health facilities. The number of patients visiting primary healthcare centers or hospitals decreased especially at the beginning of the pandemic.

“30-40 people used to come into the center but during the pandemic, this number has decreased.” KII participant

In addition, there has been a prioritization of services to include the more critical cases.

“We preferred to provide service only to the cases that were really in need, and we wanted to keep
COVID-19 affected primary health services in terms of absenteeism of health workers and sickness and lockdown, especially with the already existing shortage of staff. This was exacerbated when a member of the staff was exposed to COVID-19 and needed to quarantine, thus increasing the workload for the rest of the staff.

“This led to a decrease in the number of cadres because there were absentees. For example, if one of the employees is infected, he will have to go home for 10-14 days, and another employee will take the workload.” KII participant

Most NGOs ensured the delivery of medications for chronic patients to their homes.

“For chronic diseases, yes, they were delivered to the homes of the patients. I mean, each center has a specific patient machine. We delivered to all our patients. The medicines were delivered to homes, whether Syrian or Jordanian.” KII participant

The pandemic affected both the host population and Syrian refugees psychologically. The loss of a loved one or losing a job due to the pandemic negatively impacted the mental health status of individuals, calling for an increase in mental health services and support.

“I see that the psychological aspect was affected a lot after COVID-19, I mean, frankly, it became necessary for some people who lost loved ones due to the disease, who lost people who cherished them, so I expect it should happen, I mean, the psychological aspect we have to focus on it more, explicitly rehabilitating.” KII participant
6. POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The above results suggest that the health needs of displaced populations and Jordanian nationals are not met with Jordan’s current healthcare system. Additionally, it was evident that very few health facilities target the health needs of Syrian refugees residing in Jordan. The Jordanian healthcare facilities need to acquire basic supplies and equipment to treat patients, manage infections, and early diagnosis of communicable and non-communicable diseases, as the study showed a low grade for health facility readiness. Policies should be formed to include family planning and maternal services among health facilities in Jordan, as these issues were frequently mentioned as major health problems with a concurrent lack of available service.

The study also identified mental health issues as a major health need among Syrian refugees and, to a lesser extent, among Jordanian nationals. However, it found very few facilities provide psychosocial support, mental health services, and essential psychotropic drugs. Thus, the study suggests implementing policies to incorporate mental health services and psychosocial support in most facilities to target the needs of the population.

Lastly, the study findings suggest increasing the involvement of international NGOs and the government in supplying funds to health facilities, as the main obstacle reported for accessing healthcare was the direct and indirect costs of the health services provided.

Thus, policies about the provision of health services to Syrian refugees and Jordanian nationals should be reinforced and tailored to the specific needs of the population.
CHAPTER 3
HUMAN RESOURCES FOR HEALTH RESPONSE

1. BACKGROUND

1.1. Key health actors and Roles

1.1.1. Government

Public health services in Jordan are provided by the MoH, two university hospitals, the Royal Medical Services, and the Center for Diabetes, Endocrinology, and Genetics. The MoH is by far the largest entity and the most relevant for Syrian refugees. It is single-handedly the largest financier and healthcare provider in Jordan [67]. Most of its annual budget is provided by the Jordanian Ministry of Finance. The Civil Insurance program, the largest program in the country, also provides funds [68].

1.1.2. Health Workforce

A Jordanian National Human Resources for Health (HRH) strategy was co-developed with the WHO in 2018 for the period 2018-2022. In this report, the total number of healthcare professionals in Jordan was deemed below international standards and recommendations [69]. There are also vast differences in patient-to-physician ratios across governorates, as recorded in 2016 – the latest year with available staff ratio data (Figure 15, 16). The health workforce of all categories is concentrated in the Central Region with a geographic disparity in the distribution of health workers between the governorates of the kingdom, especially doctors [70]. There are also imbalances in the distribution of health personnel between different health sectors, between primary and secondary healthcare levels, and between governorates [70].

Figure 15. The ratio of physicians to 10,000 population, country comparison, 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jordan [71]</th>
<th>Oman [72]</th>
<th>Qatar [73]</th>
<th>Canada [74]</th>
<th>United Kingdom [74]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>15.41</td>
<td>19.40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.1.3. Staff ratio trends

In a separate governmental report co-developed in 2017 with the National Human Resources of Health observatory, an overall trend for health staff ratios was mapped based on the annual statistical book for the MoH [75]. Since 2014, the physician-to-patient ratio has decreased. A similar downward trend was observed in 2015 across staff ratios, with minor improvements in 2016 for pharmacists and nurses, and no improvement for dentists (Figure 17). One cause for the sharp decrease in the staff-to-patient ratio could be the Syrian refugee influx.

The main workforce challenges faced by the High Health Council (HHC) can be grouped into four high-level themes: (1) governance gaps, (2) Human Resources for Health (HRH) mismanagement, (3) HRH education, production, and development gaps and finally (4) poor HRH planning (Figure 18).
Figure 18. Challenges in the workforce according to HRH [76].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governance, policy, and partnership</th>
<th>HRH management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absence of a national HRH strategy</td>
<td>Lack of awareness and skills within the top management team and other managerial levels of the critical linkages between MoH strategic/operational planning and human resources planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate generation of evidence-based HRH decisions</td>
<td>Difficulty in attracting and retaining qualified health performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficient endorsed national job descriptions</td>
<td>Overemphasis on tenure and credentials over performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of a national board to license/relicense healthcare fields (Larocco, 2015)</td>
<td>Weak performance management (unclear criteria, lack of transparency) system to inform career path and succession planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of collaboration with other healthcare fields (Jordan Nursing Council, 2016)</td>
<td>Weak linkages between the current performance appraisal system and incentives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption of the Civil Service Bureau performance appraisal system represents a change from current practices</td>
<td>Risk that employees will focus on behaviours that are rewarded and neglect other work-related behaviours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure, particularly in the governorates, to hire more staff at the MoH because of the high unemployment rate in remote/underserved areas</td>
<td>High stress and low job satisfaction (Hamaideh &amp; Ammouri, 2011; Mrayyan 2007; Nawafleh, 2014) in remote/underserved areas (Nawafleh, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplace violence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HRH education, production, and development</th>
<th>HRH planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interdependence of continuing professional development (CPD) with other HR policies (e.g., employee selection, career path planning, succession planning, and job analysis and description)</td>
<td>Limited supply of specialties in the labour market as they take considerable time to develop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of requisite skills on the technical aspects of training and development for those who work at training and development directorate</td>
<td>Skill-mix, gender, and facility maldistribution of human resources across the country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of national CPD system linked with re-licensing</td>
<td>Weak linkages between the human resources planning system on one hand and the performance management, reward, incentive, training, and development systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of funding for human resources department</td>
<td>Shortages of midwives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak capacity-building and continuing education initiatives (Jordan Nursing Council, 2016)</td>
<td>High turnover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of internship opportunities</td>
<td>Ineffective HRH information system especially that of the private sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited provision of holistic care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A report co-developed between the HHC and the WHO highlighted the pressure placed by Syrian refugees on the healthcare workforce [46]. Due to insufficient funds and brain drain, the government reported a high ratio of patients-to-staff as a cause for concern. A survey assessing the public perception of healthcare also supported this view: 60 percent of Jordanians considered an overcrowded healthcare system as a cause for tension, compared with 39 percent of Syrians [77].

Brain drain remains a challenge in Jordan, as talent is attracted to countries such as those included in the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC), in Europe, and in North America after becoming qualified and experienced [67]. The turnover rate is particularly high for nurses. One study conducted in Jordan found a turnover rate as high as 36.6 percent [78, 79]. Geographical location, financial remuneration, and relationship attachments were three factors associated with the retention rate in Jordan. In addition, workforce skill sets are not optimally allocated within the healthcare system [80]. Particularly in the context of mental health, there is a workforce gap further exacerbated by the needs of Syrian refugees. It is part of the government’s strategic direction to embed mental health services more strongly as part of primary healthcare services [81]. As of 2018, 45 percent of the MoH national budget is allocated to staff salaries and benefits [82]. Salaries at the MoH and Royal Medical Services are provided in line with national military and civil financial schemes [46]. While in theory, the incentive scheme is systematic, the government acknowledges unfair incentive schemes as one of the causes of high turnover rates.

1.1.4. Private sector

The non-governmental sector (private and civil organization sector) is the main employer of health cadres in Jordan – especially medical doctors, dentists, and pharmacists (Figure 19). The private sector attracts experienced professionals from the public sector due to the high financial returns [46]. Although it is prohibited for public sector doctors and other health personnel to work in the private sector, the MoH has contracted some private doctors in certain medical subspecialties to cover the shortage in these disciplines in the public sector [46].
The private sector is more challenging to study quantitatively as this sector consists of independent clinics, largely delivering secondary and tertiary services [46]. It is therefore a decentralized system, providing relative freedom of diversifying practices as long as they are accredited. The Private Hospitals Association (PHA) represents this group of disparate, independent service providers. PHA is a private entity aimed at representing the interests of private hospitals in Jordan [67]. One of the major functions of the PHA is to provide local accreditation to ensure that hospitals and care providers adhere to international standards. Equipping hospitals with technology is yet another important function.

In a 2016 survey conducted with 1,550 Syrian refugees living outside of camps, approximately half (51.5 percent) of Syrian refugees sought the public sector, 38.7 percent sought private care and a much smaller proportion (9.8 percent) sought care from NGOs [77]. It is also possible for UNHCR to refer patients from within camps to either public or private services, making it a significant sector serving Syrian refugees’ health needs. Patients could seek private care free of charge only if approved by UNHCR, and if the patient is not able to receive the same service at a non-insured Jordanian rate from the public sector [83].

1.1.5. Non-Governmental organizations (NGOs)

NGOs, coordinated by the UNHCR, play a crucial role in providing foreign-funded services to refugees, mostly through refugee camps. As mentioned in the previous section, only one in ten Syrian refugees living in host communities choose to seek care through NGOs. In yet another study, 16.6 percent of Syrian refugees with chronic care conditions in host communities sought care through NGOs or charities [77].
Among those who do seek care through NGOs, 70 percent do so due to affordable costs, compared with 40 percent and 30 percent in the public and private sectors, respectively [59].

NGOs have a stronger presence within camps, and they are managed and coordinated by U.N. agencies, which are funded by sources outside of Jordan. The UNHCR regularly monitors Syrian refugees’ health access and utilization behaviors in host communities through yearly surveys and data collection on priority health issues, such as vaccination and antenatal care [84]. However, information on the management of services within camps is scarce.

A general understanding of how services are operated by the UNHCR can be found in the Zaatari camp [85]. All services are provided free of charge for in-camp refugees. Primary care is provided outside of the camp in coordination with the MoH (through the Saudi Government, Médecins Sans Frontières [MSF], and others) [86]. Secondary care is provided in camps through military field hospitals (Moroccan, Jordanian, and Italian) [85]. Tertiary care is provided through referrals outside the hospital and fees are covered by the UNHCR if approved [85].

Outside of camps, Syrian refugees presenting their UNHCR card are eligible for public health services at uninsured Jordanian rates as per the 2019 policy change [85]. These include primary, secondary, and tertiary services, including emergency, mental health, and pregnancy and delivery services. Nutrition services are also provided. If unregistered, UNHCR may support vulnerable refugees based on a vulnerability assessment. Service cost coverage for registered refugees and vulnerability assessments for unregistered refugees are both provided through the Jordan Health Aid Society (JHAS) and Caritas [87].

1.1.6. Informal sector

The informal health sector is more relevant to examine outside of camps. It is defined as any service that does not include private, public, or NGO health services. The informal sector is, by nature, a gray area which makes it difficult to study quantitatively.

Qualitative research points to the informal sector as being most relevant when it comes to primary care needs or basic check-ups [88]. Based on 68 in-depth interviews with Syrian refugees living in host communities, basic and initial care needs are largely met through NGOs or pharmacies [58]. If needs are not met, refugees then reorient themselves towards government services or private care [58]. Policy shifts in Jordan since 2014 have made it costly for refugees to access care. A needs assessment conducted in 2019 highlights that Syrian refugees seek care through pharmacies due to high formal healthcare service costs [58]. Another reason refugees seek care through pharmacies is the inability
to receive timely medication through the formal health sectors (NGOs, public, and private). Several reports have consistently raised the issue of supply chain disruption when it comes to medication in the NGO and public sectors [59].

2. RESEARCH QUESTION

How is the distribution of human resources managed among facilities and how is this serving the needs of displaced populations?

3. OBJECTIVES

This chapter aims to assess the training and capacity of health providers among healthcare facilities in Jordan. The chapter also examines the readiness and service quality indicators of the health facilities.

4. RESULTS

The health provider (HP) questionnaire was filled out by healthcare workers from 22 facilities across Jordan, to assess the training and capacity of health providers and support the assessment of readiness and service quality indicators. Most participants were nurses (42.3 percent) and medical doctors (21.9 percent). The percentage of clinical officers and midwives was equal (15.9 percent), and the least participating profession was family medicine (0.5 percent) (Figure 20).

Figure 20. Professional classification of Participants. The professional classification of healthcare providers who participated in the HP questionnaire from 22 health facilities across Jordan serving both Syrian refugees and Jordanian nationals.
Infection prevention and control (IPC) (51 percent) was the subject of the most offered on-the-job training, as reported by healthcare professionals, followed by diagnosis and management of diabetes (38 percent), and newborn resuscitation (29 percent). The training least likely to be provided included treatment of multidrug-resistant TB (9 percent); corticosteroids administration to a mother with preterm labor (9 percent); hepatitis A diagnosis and treatment (8 percent); and management of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and TB co-infection (7 percent) (Figure 21).

Figure 21. The type of on-the-job training reported as received by healthcare providers from 22 health facilities across Jordan.
Findings from FGDs suggested dissatisfaction with the services provided at health facilities. In most public hospitals and clinics, both Syrian refugees and Jordanian nationals mentioned misdiagnosis, negligence, insufficient types of services, and lack of specialized doctors as major reasons for not choosing a certain facility. Participants mentioned that radiology, along with other diagnostic tests, is often misread and a wrong diagnosis is given when compared to other well-established clinics or hospitals. Nevertheless, participants mentioned a limited availability of specialized doctors (such as dentists and therapists), treatments, advanced supplies, or services provided. Moreover, many participants reported neglect from healthcare workers, as they do not attend to emergencies as quickly as they should, and they do not provide the patient with the appropriate attention. Additionally, some participants mentioned long waiting hours and hardship trying to schedule an appointment, even in urgent cases. Participants also reported that in some cases healthcare workers do not examine the patients at all, and some prescribe medication without proper examination. Lastly, most of the participants agreed that there is a shortage of medication and no organization in certain public hospitals/clinics, hence, choosing a different health facility.

“A while ago, I went to do some laboratory tests since I was feeling really tired. The doctor did not do the laboratory tests for me and mentioned that I am fine, however, I didn’t feel well. I asked him to dispense me painkillers and so he did.”
Jordanian female.

On the other hand, a few participants believed that the doctors were strong and professional and that it is the system that is weak. Others also stated that physicians provided them with the appropriate care, treatment, diagnosis, and medication. A few mentioned the chosen health facility has ready and available services and specialists.

“But to be honest the doctors there are good … but the system is not.” Jordanian female.
5. POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The above results highlight the need to implement training policies for human resources in health facilities in Jordan. Training should be done to ensure that 1) patients do not feel neglected by the health workers; 2) appropriate time and attention are provided for each case; and 3) emergency cases are attended to as a priority.

Additionally, there is a need to recruit specialized personnel to provide specialized services, such as dentistry and therapy, that are not available in most health facilities. Facilities should also invest in additional types of services, treatments, and supplies to cover all types of needs in the population.

Most importantly, results highlight the need for specialized personnel for appropriate diagnosis, which will help reduce misdiagnosis in different diagnostic tests, such as radiology. Efforts should be made to ensure diagnostic tests are being analyzed by qualified and trained health personnel.
CHAPTER 4
HEALTH INFORMATION AND REPORTING SYSTEMS:
HEALTH AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA COLLECTION,
MONITORING, AND REPORTING SYSTEMS

1. BACKGROUND

1.1. Humanitarian health system: organization and coordination

Coordinating care for Syrian refugees is essential to ensure timely and appropriate care. By striving for optimal coordination, duplication of effort is avoided, and Syrian refugees are directed to where they need to go to access the appropriate care. At a national level, there are currently two large programs aimed at supporting Syrian refugees in Jordan:

The Jordanian Response Plan (JRP): led by the Government with U.N. support. This program is aimed at granting Syrian refugees access to the public health sector and developing policies for the integration of refugees [89].

The Sixth Regional Response Plan (RRP6): a broad, multifaceted program that encompasses an essential role in coordinating the Syrian refugees’ health response [90]. The RRP6 is led by the UNHCR but involves other key members in the health sector. In 2013, an inter-sector working group was created to coordinate and facilitate information sharing and management of Syrian refugees’ health [91]. Working groups consist of members from U.N. bodies, donors, and NGOs (Figure 22) [92]:

• The **Health Sector Strategic Advisory Group (HSSAG)** is chaired by the UNHCR and WHO. It is a platform for all relevant stakeholders in Jordan to coordinate the management of Syrian refugees’ health services [93].

• The **Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) Task Force** is chaired by the UNHCR and WHO. It is aimed at guiding the national response to NCDs by supporting the MoH. Initiatives include the provision of hospitals with medication and laboratory equipment, the guidance of physicians with a software program, and disease surveillance [90].

• The **Community Health Platform** is aimed at Syrian refugees and Jordanians alike. Its function is to disseminate information to encourage the population to access healthcare services. It is largely based on volunteer efforts.

• The **Nutrition Sub-Working Group** is chaired by UNICEF and Save the Children. This group focuses on inter-agency and cross-sector outreach to treat micronutrient deficiencies, particularly anemia, as well as improve nutritional surveillance through research [93].

• The **Reproductive Health Sub-Working Group** was created in the context of Iraqi refugees in Jordan [94]. It is led by the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) and is aimed at encouraging and ensuring access to maternity and family planning services.

• The **Mental Health and Psychosocial Support Sub-Working Group** serves both Syrian refugees and Jordanians and is the focus area of Save the Children [95]. This group works collaboratively with the GoJ to embed psychosocial support as part of the public health system. As mentioned earlier in this report, the efficiency and effectiveness of mental healthcare in Jordan require improvement. According to in-depth qualitative studies, Syrian refugees consistently reported that the cause of their physical illnesses starts with poor psychological health [88]. This highlights the need for a working group to carry out mental health surveillance and support.
While the UNHCR aims to work closely with all health sectors in Jordan, it becomes more challenging to coordinate Syrian refugees’ care outside of the camp. The Syrian refugee population is spread out geographically, providing them with more options to seek care and making it easier to get “lost in the system.” Under such circumstances, refugees’ decision-making process is less predictable. Transportation costs, service fees, and alternative methods of care become essential factors to consider [96].

Therefore, despite efforts to coordinate care, Syrian refugees’ experience suggests many opportunities for improvement. Challenges raised by participants were not directly attributed to the UNHCR, but rather an experience shared when navigating the healthcare system. This experience includes all sectors involved which means an individual, as well as a collective effort, on the part of NGOs (led by UNHCR), public and private sectors, is required to improve service cohesiveness.

Furthermore, Syrian refugees living in urban areas have raised concerns about the difficulty in navigating healthcare services. Services are disjointed, with refugees having to direct themselves to different locations on different dates to diagnose and treat their health issues [88]. This disjointed patient experience could be partly due to miscommunication. It is reported that communication between UNHCR and Syrian refugees could be improved, as many are unaware of the services which they are eligible for [90].
1.2. Key health challenges

1.2.1. An overburdened healthcare system
Research studies consistently report an overburdened Jordanian healthcare system since the first influx of Syrian refugees in 2011-2012 [53]. For instance, 12 out of 16 neo-natal incubators in the Mafraq hospital were occupied by Syrian refugees [97]. Moreover, a rise in surgical operations for Syrian refugees at the MoH facilities is also reported, jumping from 105 operations per month to 622 in the span of three months [97].

A summary of healthcare challenges for Syrian refugees seeking access to public care settings based on a qualitative and quantitative study [58]:

Figure 23. Healthcare challenges for Syrian refugees seeking access to public care settings [58].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths and weaknesses of the Jordanian healthcare system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concerns of healthcare provider</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concerns of Syrian refugees in camps</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concerns of Syrian refugees in urban areas</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strengths</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Providing free/subsidized care to Syrian refugees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hospitality toward Syrian refugees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dedication to providing quality health care to Syrian refugees and Jordanian citizens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Availability of primary healthcare facilities and small hospitals inside the camp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weaknesses</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of electronic health information systems, especially in primary care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Limited mental healthcare services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Insufficient health education programs targeting chronic disease prevention, smoking, domestic violence, and mental health stigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provider burn-out due to long work hours and staffing shortages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of community health outreach programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **1.2.2. Communication and coordination challenges between key actors**
UNHCR has been working closely with the Jordanian government to support the MoH. In 2019, U.N. agencies supported the MoH in more than primary healthcare centers [90]. However, government officials have expressed concerns vis-a-vis underfunding from international humanitarian bodies. It also seems that the UNHCR and government initiatives are not fully aligned in their strategic priorities and initiatives.
According to his Majesty King Abdullah II, UNHCR efforts do not support Jordan’s economic and humane issues. Since these issues have been raised, coordination is continuously being improved. More recently, U.N. agencies and the GoJ co-developed a JRP for 2020-2022 [98]. It is a national plan detailing international funding and plans to further integrate and strengthen services for both Syrian refugees and vulnerable Jordanians.

In the context of the wider health system, collaboration issues were raised between the GoJ and UNHCR. In the specific context of displacement response, poor communication between NGOs has also been raised [99]. It is worth noting that the UNHCR has been praised for being well-coordinated internally. For instance, UNHCR was able to quickly identify challenges in implementing its educational initiatives, whereby children did not attend educational programs due to parental fears around safety. In response to this challenge, UNHCR began to successfully track the program and adapt it to ensure children’s attendance. Such challenges highlight the importance of taskforce and working group platforms to continuously coordinate care [90].

As a result of suboptimal communication and coordination between key actors, many Syrian refugees are unaware of their rights and their abilities to access services. For example, only 35 percent of Syrians understood the latest policy changes made in 2019 [100] Aug and Sep 2019. Lack of awareness of the different services offered decreases the likelihood that refugees will seek care, a point directly raised in qualitative interviews [90].

1.3. Opportunities/Innovations

1.3.1. Prioritize mental health services

Epidemiological data strongly suggests the need to prioritize mental health challenges. In the last few years, mental health services have become more embedded within primary care in Jordan [101], however, many barriers persist. From the refugees’ perspective, barriers include the cost of the service, transportation costs, social stigma, and feelings of helplessness. The health sector also makes it challenging for refugees to access care. Systemic barriers include the shortage of mental health workers due to the widely known social stigma attached to working in the mental health field [46]. The ratio of psychiatrists to citizens in Jordan is one for every 50,000 [101]. Syrian refugees themselves consider mental health to be a predecessor to their NCDs [88]. Preventing physical diseases would therefore necessitate a strong focus on effective biopsychosocial support [102]. Thus, NCDs, both physical and psychological, need to be prioritized and successfully addressed.
1.3.2. Prioritize prevention of NCDs to address funding gaps
To address the gaps in funding, developing cost-effective solutions is paramount. Empowering Syrian refugees to address risk factors and social determinants associated with physical and psychological disorders could be one of the most effective solutions to prevent diseases [103]. WHO publishes detailed costing and quantitative analyses in favor of preventative interventions [104, 105]. Such interventions may be tailored for Syrian refugees to alleviate both financial strains and preventable suffering [105].

1.3.3. Improved communication between key health actors
Due to the hierarchical nature and size of the programs taking place in Jordan, it is not surprising that excessive bureaucracy and miscommunication are being reported. While improvements are continuously being made, it is also evident that further efforts are required to provide consistent information to all parties involved. This includes all health actors (private, public, and NGOs), as well as Syrian refugees.

Improving Syrian refugees’ journey through the healthcare system could also improve access to care. The journey usually begins with prevention, followed by diagnosis, treatment, and finally follow-up care. Based on qualitative interviews, the stages of this journey can be confusing for Syrian refugees. Strengthened collaboration between the UNHCR and other health sectors could address this challenge and make it easier for refugees to negotiate their healthcare journey. Based on available data, some solutions could include creating a ‘one-stop’ location to provide diagnosis and treatment, efficient referrals between health actors, and other solutions aimed specifically at reducing inefficiencies and delays.

1.3.4. Invest in local skills and staff retention
While international support offers a beneficial response to the Syrian refugee crisis, empowering members of local communities to support and become healthcare practitioners could address some of the challenges found in the literature. Understaffing and high turnover in the health sector were raised as notable challenges by the government of Jordan [89]. Providing interested Jordanian and Syrian candidates with training and scholarship opportunities could increase system capacity.

To address insufficient data, as well as to better understand staffing needs, in 2018 the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) HRH 2030 began rolling out a program, in collaboration with WHO and the MoH [82]. A tool, “Workload Indicators of Staffing Needs”, was introduced which will be used to make data-driven decisions about human resources management. This includes task allocation, workload management, and capacity planning.
CHAPTER 5
HEALTHCARE ACCESS, UTILIZATION, AND COST OF HEALTHCARE SERVICES FOR REFUGEES

1. BACKGROUND
Since 2011, Jordan has welcomed a massive influx of refugees due to the conflict in Syria. According to UNHCR, Jordan hosts the second-largest share of refugees per capita [106]. While Syrian refugees account for 1.3 million persons or 13 percent of the de facto population, as of January 31, 2022, only 673,188 Syrian were officially registered with the UNHCR office in Jordan [9]. UNHCR provides primary, secondary, and tertiary healthcare services free of charge for refugees living inside camps and in urban areas [107]. Between 2011 and October 2014, registered refugees, both in camp and non-camp settings, could access free care at MoH facilities through UNHCR. Between November 2014 and 2018, the MoH required refugees to pay a 20 percent co-pay at MoH clinics. In 2018 the policy was changed, and refugees were required to pay 80 percent of co-pays. This decision was reversed in 2019, meaning refugees again paid a 20 percent co-pay. These changes led the UNHCR to adopt the “Cash to Access” approach, reimbursing patients for care received at the MoH facilities.

2. RESEARCH QUESTION
What is the nature of healthcare access, utilization, and cost to refugees in an urban setting in Jordan?
3. OBJECTIVES

This chapter investigates healthcare access, utilization, and cost to refugees in an urban setting in Jordan.

4. METHODS

To estimate the need for healthcare, access to healthcare, utilization of healthcare services, and out-of-pocket spending on health services, we used two primary sources. The first source was a national survey of refugees in Jordan conducted by Johns Hopkins University in 2014. The survey allowed us to estimate (1) the need for healthcare services among the refugee population, (2) access to ambulatory services, (3) utilization of ambulatory care, (4) the proportion of households who had at least one member admitted to the hospital at least once in the last six months, (5) the average number of admissions, and (6) length of hospital stay. This data allowed us to estimate the average number of ambulatory visits and hospital bed days utilized by an average refugee per year. The second source was the UNHCR Health Access and Utilization Surveys reports and data, which allowed us to track changes in (1) the need for healthcare services, (2) access to healthcare services, and (3) spending on healthcare between 2014 and 2021 [108]. In addition, we used this source to (4) identify the type of providers refugees used for healthcare services (public vs. private vs. NGOs) and (5) estimate the out-of-pocket spending by provider type.

In this study, we also estimated the annual overall cost of providing health services for Syrian refugees in urban settings. We used the following sources of data: (1) the Jordanian National Health Accounts from 2014 to 2018, (2) the MoH annual statistical reports from 2014-2020, (3) the MoH budget from 2019 to 2021, and (4) the number of refugees treated at MoH facilities between 2018 and 2020. This allowed us to estimate the cost of an ambulatory visit and the cost per bed day at an MoH facility using the macro-costing methodology. From the UNHCR Health Access and Utilization Surveys report of 2021, we learned that 63 percent of refugees were aware of the subsidized health services available to them, leaving the remaining 37 percent vulnerable to paying out-of-pocket for healthcare. We used reported data from the UNHCR survey to estimate the average cost of care at a private and an NGO facility. For the cost of services provided at the private facilities, we used a pricing ratio of 2.44 based on the differences in payment made at a public compared to a private facility, as reported by refugees who paid for care. For the cost of care at an NGO, we used the payment reported by refugees who paid out-of-pocket for healthcare services, as reported in the Health Access and Utilization Survey 2021.
5. RESULTS

5.1. Access to healthcare services

As illustrated in Figure 24, the percentage of urban refugees who stated they needed healthcare in the last month increased over time, with a peak increase in 2018 of 85 percent (from 27 percent in 2014 to 49 percent in 2018), followed by a decrease to 38 percent in 2021.

As presented in Table 5, nearly 90 percent of those who needed healthcare sought healthcare services in 2012, 2015, 2016, and 2021. The percentage of refugees who reported seeking necessary healthcare services dropped sharply to 45 percent in 2018. This drop was concurrent with the change in MoH policy regarding healthcare provision to refugees at MoH facilities and the increase of co-payment to 80 percent. However, the figure for refugees seeking healthcare when needed reverted to 84 percent in 2021 when the policy changed, after the establishment of the multi-donor account which supported the MoH to provide healthcare to refugees and vulnerable Jordanians in host communities. The percentage of refugees who reported paying out-of-pocket for healthcare services doubled from the base year (2014) following the installation of the 20 percent co-pay requirement by the MoH. In 2018, the even higher co-pay requirement resulted in reduced utilization and spending on healthcare, whereby only 22 percent sought care when needed.

Figure 24. The trend of need for healthcare services, access to needed health services, and out-of-pocket spending on healthcare, 2014-2021.

Source: UNHCR Healthcare Access and Utilization Surveys, 2014-2021
Table 5. Utilization and spending on healthcare services by refugees per month, 2014-2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Needed healthcare</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sought health services</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paid for healthcare</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of refugees who needs healthcare services</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: UNHCR Healthcare Access and Utilization Surveys, 2014-2021

In 2021, 22 percent of the refugees surveyed reported a chronic condition (23 percent of females and 21 percent of males), and 9 percent reported having an impairment (7 percent of females and 11 percent of males). Only 3 percent reported having a chronic condition and an impairment (3 percent females and 3 percent males). As shown in Table 6, 68 percent of those who sought health services first sought private facilities (hospital, clinic, or pharmacy), 24 percent sought care at a public facility, 7 percent sought care at an NGO facility, and 2 percent utilized other providers. On average, 32 percent of the refugee households reported having at least one female member pregnant in the last two years, 41 percent of which had at least one antenatal care service. As for delivery, 39 percent reported giving birth at a public health facility, 54 percent at a private facility, and 8 percent delivered at home or other types of facilities. Approximately 33 percent reported there is no cost for delivery, 12 percent spent less than US$141, and only 3 percent paid more than US$1,056 (Table 7). Finally, 94 percent of children under the age of four in refugees’ households were vaccinated. This service is covered for free by the GoJ at the MoH facilities for all children under six.

Table 6. Percentage of refugees who sought care by provider type and aggregate out-of-pocket spending in USD, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider Type</th>
<th>% Sought care</th>
<th>Aggregate cost, US$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private sector (clinic/hospital)</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>4,975,389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Pharmacy</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>967,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public sector (Clinic/Hospital)</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>1,396,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO clinic</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>101,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>230,241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: UNHCR Healthcare Access and Utilization Surveys, 2021
Table 7. Percentage of refugee women who gave birth in the last two years by type of healthcare provider and the amount of out-of-pocket spending in US$, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider Type</th>
<th>Deliveries by provider type</th>
<th>Paid Nothing</th>
<th>&lt;$141</th>
<th>$141-$353</th>
<th>$354-$1,056</th>
<th>&gt;$1,056</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Government Hospital</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home delivery without a skilled birth attendant</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home delivery with a skilled birth attendant</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Clinic / Hospital</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: UNHCR Healthcare Access and Utilization survey, 2021

5.2. Utilization of healthcare services

From the Refugees Healthcare Access and Utilization Survey administered by the University of Johns Hopkins in 2014, we estimated a baseline for the average annual number of ambulatory services and the number of hospital bed days utilized by an average Syrian refugee in urban settings. We then adjusted these statistics using an adjustment factor based on the change in utilization rate between 2014 and 2021, as reported in Table 5. As presented in Table 8, a typical refugee will use 2.94 ambulatory visits and 0.62 hospital bed days per year. Most of the ambulatory visits occurred in the private sector (68 percent), followed by the public sector (23 percent), while a small proportion utilized NGO clinics. Most inpatient care occurred in the public sector (69 percent), followed by the private sector (24 percent), and a minority were hospitalized in NGO hospitals (7 percent). These assumptions are supported by survey data and communication with KIs with MoH and World Bank officials.
Table 8. Annual utilization of ambulatory services and hospital bed days for a typical refugee by provider type, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of ambulatory visits by facility type</th>
<th>% of inpatient bed days by facility type</th>
<th>Ambulatory visits</th>
<th>Inpatient bed days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed annual care per Syrian refugee in 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization adjustment factor</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated annual healthcare visits per Syrian refugee in 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed annual care at public facilities per Syrian refugee in 2021</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed annual care at private facilities per Syrian refugee in 2021</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed annual care at NGO facilities per Syrian refugee in 2021</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Johns Hopkins 2014 Syrian refugees health access and utilization survey; UNHCR access and utilization surveys 2014, 2021

5.3. Cost of care at Ministry of Health facilities

Providing health services for refugees demands resources. To understand the financial burden for both donors and the Government of Jordan to provide health services for refugees, we used a macro-costing methodology to estimate the cost of services provided at the MoH facilities. This method, combined with utilization data, would give us an overall estimate of the cost of care for an average refugee, and the resources needed to cover these needs for future planning. Utilizing the national health accounts for the years 2014, 2015, and 2018 (the latest available National Health Accounts reports), we estimated the cost of providing care at MoH health centers (after excluding spending on public health services) and expenditures from all sources on MoH hospitals, excluding Prince Hamza Hospital — a semiautonomous hospital with a unique financial arrangement with the Civil Insurance Program (CIP). We adjusted the cost for inflation using the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) inflator. We addressed potential fluctuation in cost by taking the average cost per setting (i.e., ambulatory vs. bed day) for 2014, 2015, and 2018. Table 9 illustrates the steps taken to estimate the cost of healthcare services provided at the MoH. As presented
in Table 10, the average cost for a hospital admission at an MoH hospital was US$580.40, a bed-day US$185.13, and an ambulatory visit (outpatient or emergency) at a hospital setting was US$59.24. The cost of a visit to a healthcare center was US$18.43, and the weighted average of an ambulatory visit in either setting (i.e., hospital or health center) was US$30.21.

Table 9. Macro-costing analysis to estimate the cost of services at MoH facilities, 2014-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure on personal healthcare services at MoH centers + vaccinations (all HC levels), 2020 USD</td>
<td>$302,714,026</td>
<td>$252,318,846</td>
<td>$253,681,202</td>
<td>$269,571,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits to MoH facilities</td>
<td>15,199,795</td>
<td>14,042,612</td>
<td>12,481,268</td>
<td>13,907,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of immunizations</td>
<td>3,794,924</td>
<td>3,854,237</td>
<td>3,135,075</td>
<td>3,594,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion factor (immunization/visit)</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campaign immunization service equivalent to health center visit</td>
<td>778,491</td>
<td>790,658</td>
<td>643,129</td>
<td>737,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted number of primary healthcare services (actual visits+ fraction of the immunization campaign services)</td>
<td>15,978,286</td>
<td>14,833,270</td>
<td>13,124,397</td>
<td>14,645,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per service, 2020 USD</td>
<td>$18.95</td>
<td>$17.01</td>
<td>$19.33</td>
<td>$18.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure on personal healthcare services at MoH hospitals, 2020 USD</td>
<td>$555,293,072</td>
<td>$563,634,075</td>
<td>$545,228,310</td>
<td>$554,718,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of registered beds</td>
<td>4,200</td>
<td>4,431</td>
<td>4,712</td>
<td>4,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy rate</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number per patient per day</td>
<td>2,755</td>
<td>2,903</td>
<td>3,275</td>
<td>2,978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual bed days</td>
<td>1,005,585</td>
<td>1,059,571</td>
<td>1,195,407</td>
<td>1,086,854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total hospital ambulatory visits</td>
<td>5,829,705</td>
<td>5,653,464</td>
<td>6,559,679</td>
<td>6,014,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rel. factor: outpatient visit/inpatient day</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hosp. ambulatory bed-day equivalents</td>
<td>1,865,506</td>
<td>1,809,108</td>
<td>2,099,097</td>
<td>1,924,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bed-day equivalents</td>
<td>2,871,090</td>
<td>2,868,679</td>
<td>3,294,504</td>
<td>3,011,425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per bed day equivalent, 2020 USD</td>
<td>$193.41</td>
<td>$196.48</td>
<td>$165.50</td>
<td>$185.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per ambulatory visit, 2020 USD</td>
<td>$61.89</td>
<td>$62.87</td>
<td>$52.96</td>
<td>$59.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A weighted average of ambulatory services provided at MoH facilities</td>
<td>$30.43</td>
<td>$29.67</td>
<td>$30.54</td>
<td>$30.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average length of stay</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of admission, in 2020 USD</td>
<td>$594.53</td>
<td>$607.14</td>
<td>$539.55</td>
<td>$580.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per capita GDP, 2020 in USD</td>
<td>$4,287.48</td>
<td>$4,287.48</td>
<td>$4,287.48</td>
<td>$4,287.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoH hospital bed day as % of Jordan’s GDP per capita</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10. Cost of services provided at the MoH facilities in 2020 USD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of hospital admission</td>
<td>$594.53</td>
<td>$607.14</td>
<td>$539.55</td>
<td>$580.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of an ambulatory visit in a hospital setting</td>
<td>$61.89</td>
<td>$62.87</td>
<td>$52.96</td>
<td>$59.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per bed day</td>
<td>$193.41</td>
<td>$196.48</td>
<td>$165.50</td>
<td>$185.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health center visit</td>
<td>$18.95</td>
<td>$17.01</td>
<td>$19.33</td>
<td>$18.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of ambulatory services provided at MoH facilities*</td>
<td>$30.43</td>
<td>$29.67</td>
<td>$30.54</td>
<td>$30.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This is the weighted average of the cost of ambulatory services received at health centers and hospital settings (outpatient and emergency departments)

5.4. Cost of healthcare services for refugees in an urban setting

As presented in Table 11, the cost of healthcare per refugee was US$ 332.08, 52 percent was for ambulatory care, and 48 percent for hospitalization. The aggregate cost per refugee was US$223,472,072, of which 30 percent occurred at a public facility, 65 percent at a private facility, and 5.3 percent at an NGO facility.

Table 11. Cost of healthcare per refugee by type of services and type of provider, 2020 USD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Public facilities</th>
<th>Private facilities</th>
<th>NGOs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Projected utilization in 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient bed days</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per service, USD 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory</td>
<td>$30.21</td>
<td>$73.83</td>
<td>$19.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient bed days</td>
<td>$185.13</td>
<td>$452.43</td>
<td>$277.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost per person, USD 2021</td>
<td>$332.08</td>
<td>$99.55</td>
<td>$215.39</td>
<td>$17.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory</td>
<td>$172.17</td>
<td>$20.46</td>
<td>$146.46</td>
<td>$5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient bed days</td>
<td>$159.91</td>
<td>$79.09</td>
<td>$68.93</td>
<td>$11.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate cost for Refugees in Urban Setting</td>
<td>$223,472,072</td>
<td>$66,990,402</td>
<td>$144,945,098</td>
<td>$11,536,572</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ computation
5.5. Difference in health services fees between Syrian refugees and Jordanian nationals

62 percent of health facilities reported that health services fees, including provider fees, medications, and lab tests, were higher for Syrian refugees compared to Jordanian nationals (Figure 25). This finding was especially pronounced in centers serving mainly Jordanian nationals (Table 12).

Figure 25. The difference in health provider fees, medication, and testing costs between Jordanian nationals and Syrian refugees is reported by health facilities.

Table 12. Difference in health provider fees, medication, and testing costs between Jordanian nationals and Syrian refugees are stratified by the type of population mainly served by centers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Difference in fees</th>
<th>Mostly Jordanian nationals, some Syrian refugees</th>
<th>About half Jordanian nationals, half Syrian refugees</th>
<th>Mostly Jordanian nationals, some Syrian refugees</th>
<th>All Syrian refugees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Higher for Syrian refugee</td>
<td>69.20%</td>
<td>23.10%</td>
<td>7.70%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same for both populations</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
<td>12.50%</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>18.20%</td>
<td>13.60%</td>
<td>18.20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6. Financial barriers to seeking healthcare services

A major theme that emerged from the FGDs is the financial barriers faced by Jordanians and Syrian refugees when seeking healthcare. This theme has four subthemes:
5.6.1. Impact of COVID-19
The first subtheme elicited was the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, along with resulting curfews and lockdowns, which hindered people from seeking healthcare when needed.

“Everyone has been affected by COVID, even doctors, and engineers.” Jordanian male

“Here we are all the same, Jordanians and Syrians were affected similarly by CORONA...no difference...some people lost their jobs.”
Syrian male

All participants agreed that day-to-day laborers and workers who are paid wages not monthly salaries are the most affected by the pandemic.

5.6.2. Health services costs (provider fees, testing, and medications)
The second subtheme of the financial barriers to seeking healthcare is the cost of the health services including provider fees, testing, and medications.

A Syrian male told us his story of not being able to afford surgery. He said:

“I couldn’t afford to pay 1500 Jordanian Dinars for my eye surgery. I applied to Caritas two years ago and until today I did not receive any response...I tried other NGOs such as Care organization but no luck.”

A Jordanian female added:

“One decides to go to the hospital once he is very tired.”

Most importantly, people do not receive help when they need it most.

“He broke his arm and after we removed the splint, he needed physiotherapy, but we could not do it...I mean, even physiotherapy is expensive, meaning the cheapest session costs 15 dinars, and in the
end, the splint needs to be removed and put in again.” Syrian female

Similar to Syrian refugees, Jordanians are suffering from the economic situation and as a result, are unable to afford the cost of health services.

“I say that we as Jordanians, suffer from the same financial problems as Syrians, and we cannot afford it anymore.” Jordanian male

Lack of medical insurance is a big issue for Syrians as well as some Jordanians.

“It is a burden for all Jordanians or Syrians who do not have health insurance... I took this boy to the hospital and pay 20 dinars, out of nowhere.” Jordanian male

Patients with chronic diseases suffer the most because they need to secure medications regularly.

“Some doctors used to treat Syrians without asking for consultation fees, but they could not give them medications for free... if you have a chronic disease, then the treatment may not end tomorrow, it may take a long time.” Syrian male

The high cost of medications — even for some insured patients — forces people to buy medications from overseas.

“My friends tell me they will get me three boxes of my medication. Why? Because in Egypt, the same medicine is sold for four dinars only; a Jordanian-made medication, made in Jordan, sold for four dinars in Egypt and 27 dinars here in Jordan.” Jordanian male

The high cost of medication and some healthcare services has resulted in some mental and psychological problems.
“Lack of resources leads to mental illness. It’s all linked... and you are not psychologically prepared.”
Syrian female

Another issue with the cost of healthcare services is the fact that NGOs cover only some basic services like delivery and chronic diseases, but several other categories of services are neglected and as a result, the patient would have to pay for them out of pocket.

“If it’s a large amount, NGOs will only cover half and the patient should cover the rest.”
Syrian refugee

Most females in the FGDs were disappointed by the decision of several NGOs to only cover natural births but not cesarean sections. is as received

5.6.3. Legal status and UNHCR work permit
Another subtheme that emerged was the work permit issue for Syrian refugees and the legal status of Syrian refugees according to UNHCR inspection. Most Syrian refugees complained about the process of the UNHCR inspection undertaken to assess the degree of financial and medical assistance that needs to be provided for Syrian families.

“Even the UNHCR is unfair in this matter...there is no justice in the home visits they do to assess us. There are people we know dearly, whose situation is good, but they still benefit a lot from UNHCR...while other poor people do not have such support.” Syrian male

“Also, we do not have an eye print or eye signature that the UNHCR grants for some Syrians...wherever we go here, they ask us for it, but we don’t have it” [...] “The eye print is for the Syrians, but how can you get one and why do some people have it and others don’t? I don’t know, no one knows. Even the employee who comes to take data tells you I do not know. I will collect the information from you and send it to the UNHCR. OK, but what is the standard? What is the standard and how much do they evaluate? No one knows.” Syrian female
A similar issue that Syrian refugees complain about is their legal/security status (ID).

“Sometimes they don’t provide us with the treatment and if they do, we will have to pay half the value ourselves... They did not allow my kids to get treatment for five months until I got them a legal ID.” Syrian female

As for Syrian refugees who are willing to work in Jordan, a work permit needs to be issued to become legal employees. A Syrian male doctor commented:

“I cannot work legally here in Jordan, but I can practice my job illegally. I worked here from 2012 till 2019.”

5.6.4. Transportation costs

Transportation costs emerged as a subtheme during the FGDs with both Syrian refugees and Jordanians. The transportation costs mentioned are related—traveling to NGOs to seek approvals for treatment and to seek services in healthcare facilities.

A Jordanian male highlighted this issue by sharing his experience:

“I had to walk for 40-50 minutes to arrive at Caritas and then I came back home from there walking because I don’t have money to pay for transportation... and I did not benefit at all... Unfortunately, I’m Jordanian.”

Poor public transportation is another barrier in Jordan, as shared in feedback from the majority of FGD participants. This forces individuals to take private taxis to and from healthcare facilities and NGOs.

A Jordanian male said:

“You will need a taxi to come and go, that is three liras and three liras; a total of six JDs, and currently there are no buses at this time... The buses are broken.”
6. POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

We found that there is a great need for health services among refugees. In 2021, 38 percent of refugees needed healthcare in the last month, of which 84 percent could access healthcare services to address their needs. However, we found that access to care was affected substantially by the change to the user fee policy at the MoH facilities. The utilization of healthcare among refugees dropped significantly in 2018 when refugees were asked to pay the same rate as foreigners for healthcare services at MoH facilities.

Regarding the service providers, most ambulatory services were provided in the private sector, while most hospitalization occurred in the public sector. In 2021, an average refugee who needs healthcare and had access to care used 2.94 ambulatory services and 0.62 inpatient bed days. It seems that NGOs provide ambulatory health services at a lower unit cost while public facilities provide inpatient care at a lower cost. We understand that the services provided by NGOs for refugees focused on primary healthcare services, while the ambulatory services at private and public facilities include secondary services. Overall, it is important to continue to engage NGOs in the delivery of necessary health services for refugees. The future refugee health system should explore leveraging the strengths of the three sectors (NGOs, private, and public sectors) to improve health services for refugees.

This study estimated the average annual cost of care for a Syrian refugee at US$309.64 per refugee in 2020 or US$208 million for all Syrian refugees registered with UNHCR. According to a paper published in 2020, the health expenditure per capita for vulnerable Jordanians was US$244 in 2020 [109]. Providing health services for refugees is costly. The estimated health expenditure per refugee is higher than that per vulnerable Jordanian. The higher cost could be due to the higher demand for health services among refugees and differences in lifestyle.

While most refugees were able to access healthcare when needed, six percent were not. The reasons for this are complex and include a lack of knowledge of subsidized services offered at public and UNHCR-supported health facilities and confusion regarding the MoH policies toward refugees regarding user fees (i.e., cost). While progress is being made to address the need of this vulnerable population, it is crucial to find a sustainable mechanism to support their access to needed healthcare.
CHAPTER 6
HEALTH FINANCING SYSTEM
RESPONSE FOR THE
DISPLACED POPULATION

1. BACKGROUND

Jordan hosts the second-largest share of refugees per capita [106]. There are 2.2 million Palestinian refugees registered with UNRWA and 755,050 refugees from 57 countries registered with UNHCR; the majority (88 percent) are Syrian refugees. UNRWA has 25 primary health facilities serving Palestinian refugees, including those who have fled from Syria since 2011. For non-Palestinian refugees, UNHCR provides comprehensive primary, secondary, and tertiary healthcare services free of charge in its camps and for registered refugees in urban settings through UNHCR-supported clinics and subsidized care at MoH facilities. For this research, we have focused only on Syrian refugees who are registered with UNHCR and living in urban settings. Still, readers should be aware that some refugees were not registered with UNHCR, and their vulnerability and health needs should be addressed in future research.

In response to the Syrian crisis in 2011, the GoJ offered free healthcare services at all MoH facilities for Syrian refugees who reside outside refugee camps if they met any of the three requirements: (1) registered with the UNHCR, (2) have a UNHCR-issued asylum seeker certificate, and (3) have a Ministry of Interior Service security card issued by the GoJ. However, the persisting conflict in Syria, the increased number of refugees, and the mounting financial burden which caused a fiscal crisis forced the GoJ to introduce steps to control utilization and cost. In November 2014, Syrian refugees seeking care at MoH facilities were treated as uninsured Jordanians. They were asked to pay a minimal co-payment for many services received at the MoH facilities (estimated at 20 percent of the cost of care). Services provided at maternal and child clinics, vaccinations, and thalassemia treatments, remained free. By 2015, more than 1.4 million vaccines were administered to Syrian refugees, and more than 251,000 Syrians utilized services at the MoH facilities [110]. The highly subsidized healthcare at the MoH facilities
placed an enormous burden on the country’s resources and health system; between 2011 and 2016, the GoJ reported spending US$2.1 billion on healthcare for Syrian refugees [111, 112]. To address the refugees’ needs, including healthcare services, the GoJ coordinated donors’ responses to the Syrian crisis through the Jordan Response Plan (JRP) and asked for itemized funding to meet the refugees’ needs, including healthcare needs [113]. However, the gap between the amount requested and the amount funded was substantial. Between 2018 and 2020, the GoJ estimated the direct cost of providing healthcare services for the refugee population at over US$115 million annually, and for the 2017-2019 JRP, the GoJ requested US$224 million to cover the health needs of Syrian refugees and host communities, but only 51 percent of this amount was funded [114, 115]. The financial burden of providing healthcare for refugees forced the GoJ to again change its policies toward refugees seeking care at MoH facilities. In February 2018, refugees were required to pay the foreigners’ rate to receive care at MoH facilities (estimated at 80 percent of the actual cost). This change was associated with a massive drop in refugees’ access to needed healthcare in 2018, see Figure 22 and Table 2, and led in 2019 to the establishment of the multi-donor fund to assist the MoH with covering the cost of providing healthcare for refugees, with US$22.5 million contributed by the US, Denmark, and Canada as of June 2019 [106]. In April 2019, the MoH rolled back its 2018 co-payment policy to the pre-2018 level, requiring Syrian refugees to pay the noninsured Jordanian rate. In July 2020, the GoJ expanded this benefit to refugees from other nationalities registered with UNHCR [116].

2. RESEARCH QUESTION

How has the health financing system responded to the needs of displaced populations and how could it improve?

3. OBJECTIVES

This chapter presents the sources of financing of healthcare services for registered Syrian refugees, shares lessons learned since 2011, and discusses the innovative approaches the MoH, UNHCR, and local NGOs used to address the needs of urban, as well as in-camp, refugees. It also recommends actions to maintain and improve access to healthcare services and ensure stable financing for refugees’ healthcare.
4. METHODS

We built on the costing analysis conducted in Chapter 5 to estimate the sources of financing refugees' healthcare, conducted a desk review to understand how registered refugees' healthcare services are being financed, and conducted KIIs with 13 officials from the MoH, MOPIC, U.N. agencies, the World Bank, and local NGOs working with refugees in camps and urban settings. The interviews helped fill the gaps in the literature and provided a better understanding of how efforts to address refugees’ healthcare needs are coordinated between different stakeholders (see Table 13 for a breakdown of KII's). Data was rigorously analyzed to identify themes relevant to the research questions, including lessons learned, challenges, and opportunities.

Table 13. The distribution of the Key Informant Interviews by stakeholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Type</th>
<th>No. KIIs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) local office in Amman</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Health Organization (WHO) local office in Amman</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Bank (WB) local office in Amman</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Health (MoH)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Planning and International Cooperation (MOPIC)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local NGOs</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ document

5. RESULTS

5.1. Expenditure on healthcare services by source

As presented in Table 14, the estimated average annual expenditure on healthcare services utilized by UNHCR-registered Syrian refugees was US$223 million, of which 19.7 percent was funded by the GoJ, 24.9 percent by refugees out-of-pocket, and 55.3 percent by donors. We categorized providers into three categories: public facilities, private facilities, and NGO facilities. On average, 5.2 percent of refugees' healthcare expenditure was for services provided at NGO facilities, 30.0 percent at public facilities, and 64.9 percent at private facilities. These results are consistent with the UNHCR's 2021 Healthcare Access and Utilization Survey, which showed a declining number of refugees being aware of the free services at UNHCR-supported clinics and subsidized services provided at MoH facilities (65 percent in 2021 compared to 81 percent in 2018) [117].
Table 14. Financing source of refugee healthcare services and expenditures by type of healthcare facility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Donors</th>
<th>Refugees</th>
<th>MOH/GoJ</th>
<th>Expenditures by facility type</th>
<th>% of exp. by facility type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate cost of healthcare for Syrian urban refugees, 2020 USD</td>
<td>$123,632,271</td>
<td>$55,726,686</td>
<td>$44,113,115</td>
<td>$223,472,072</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private facilities</td>
<td>$108,800,492</td>
<td>$36,144,606</td>
<td>$144,945,098</td>
<td>64.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public facilities</td>
<td>$6,172,054</td>
<td>$16,705,233</td>
<td>$44,113,115</td>
<td>$66,990,402</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGOs facilities</td>
<td>$8,659,725</td>
<td>$2,876,847</td>
<td>$11,536,572</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding distribution by source</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ computation

As presented in the next section, under the Jordan response platform for the Syrian Crisis, the average annual estimated budget for refugees’ healthcare was US$180 million. The GoJ spent US$41 million for UNHCR-registered Syrian refugees. In addition, the MoH’s highly subsidized care covers refugees not currently enrolled with the UNHCR (estimated at around 600,000 refugees) under an arrangement where they pay a fee for service similar to uninsured Jordanians. A policy changed in 2020 to include refugees from other nationalities, would improve access to healthcare services at MoH facilities but further increase the financial burden on the MoH. Moreover, as efforts are made to integrate refugees within the formal healthcare sector, we expect access and utilization to increase, leading to a higher aggregate cost of care.

5.2. Key initiatives and actions taken by the GoJ to address the Syrian refugees’ need for healthcare services

5.2.1. Jordan Response Platform for the Syrian Crisis

In response to the Syrian crisis and the influx of more than 1.26 million Syrian refugees into Jordan, the Jordan Response Platform for the Syrian Crisis, a partnership between the GoJ, donors, U.N. agencies, and NGOs, was established in September 2013 [118, 119]. After a sequence of comprehensive need assessments that started in 2013, the GoJ drafted the three Jordan Response Plans (JRPs) in 2017-2019, 2018-2020, and 2020-2022 highlighting priority resilience projects by sector along with the required budget, including an annual average of US$180 million for health over the 2017-2022 period [110, 120]. The health priorities focused on the following areas: (1) capacity-building, (2) provision of healthcare
services at MoH hospitals and health centers, (3) strengthening of MoH non-communicable disease control, and (4) provision of quality youth-friendly, and gender-based violence control, services. Funding is generated in two mechanisms. The first is through a bilateral agreement with the Ministry of Planning and International Cooperation (MOPIC); under this mechanism, the MOPIC plays the role of financing agent and allocates resources to the needed entities. The second mechanism is direct funding for implementing agencies. This mechanism applies mainly to the U.N. agencies and international NGOs.

**Opportunities:** The JRP aimed to coordinate donors’ contributions to finance sustainable, cost-effective programs supporting refugees and host communities based on a predetermined list of national priorities. The GoJ aimed to share this list (with clear goals, objectives, and budget) with donors who would support these priorities. This innovative approach allowed the GoJ to provide an annual list of needs, based on evidence from need assessment studies, and share with the international community the burden of providing care and improving the livelihood of refugees in Jordan. The JRP managed to channel funding to programs that met the needs of refugees and host communities by working with other governmental institutions, such as the MoH. In addition, the JRP kept donors up to date with the needs of the Syrian refugees, both in and outside the camps.

**Challenges:** Several challenges impede the optimal implementation of the JRP. Three main concerns were raised during the interviews. First, many donor-funded projects are soft activities that do not address capital and infrastructure needs but focus on awareness campaigns and training (with no follow-up with trainees) that are not sustainable. Second, there seems to be a conflict between the GoJ and donors’ priorities. Some donors prioritize their programs over the GoJ’s national priorities. When officials at MOPIC review and provide their input, the donors would politely acknowledge the feedback but maintain their priorities and programs in the final proposal causing more concern than solving problems. The third is the sustainability of funding and budget deficit. Over the last few years, donors’ contributions dwindled, and the funding received to meet the needs of refugees was nearly half of the requested budget. Of the US$178 million requested by MOPIC in 2019 for refugees’ health 51 percent (i.e., US$91 million) was funded [121].

**Lessons learned:** The GoJ, through MOPIC, developed and shared the JRP with donors and key stakeholders to address the needs of Syrian refugees and the host communities with streamline to ministries responsible for implementing these programs. The JRP identified priorities, goals, and objectives, and estimated the budget needed for implementation. The JRP aimed to avoid duplication of services, expand
services to all geographic areas instead of easy-to-reach localities, and reduce operational costs by adopting one delivery mechanism. However, inadequate funding, different and sometimes conflicting priorities, and a lack of commitment to address the root cause of the challenges through investment in infrastructure and capital projects led to wastage in implementing unsustainable programs. There is an urgent need for international communities and donors to (1) localize their priorities to align with those identified by the GoJ, (2) allow the pooling of their resources with resources from other donors, (3) meet their financial commitments, and (4) be mindful of the feedback received from the host country.

5.2.2. Multi-Donor Account

In December 2018, the MoH established the Multi-Donor Account (MDA) Directorate, as a continuum of the Jordan Response Platform for the Syrian Crisis, to streamline funding from donors to projects and programs needed to meet the healthcare needs of refugees and host communities. Through the MDA, the MoH took ownership of the refugees’ agenda and proactively set the ministry’s priorities based on needs identified in the field. It enabled the MoH to reach out to donors for support and follow up with implementation plans and achievements. The MDA supported infrastructure projects, the purchase of medical equipment, and invested in human resources by focusing on capacity-building programs. The MDA is a separate account from the MoH budget and is part of the “outside-of-the-budget” account that includes funding from MOPIC. It is supported by the USAID (the biggest contributor), the World Bank (through loans), countries like Canada and Denmark, the E.U., and Gulf states (through funds such as the Qatar Fund, the Kuwait Fund, and the Saudi Fund). For example, the Jordan Emergency Health Project with a total budget of US$250 million allocated part of the funding — US$30 million — to cover healthcare costs for registered Syrian refugees. Based on the agreement between the World Bank and the GoJ, the Ministry of Finance (MoF) was required to transfer US$7.5 million per year for four years to the MDA to support the MoH effort [122].

Opportunities: The MDA allows the MoH to identify priority areas, and develop targeted proposals for submission to donors who, after negotiation with MoH officials, would fund these projects. There is a rigorous process to ensure appropriate implementation. The MDA has a steering committee that meets twice a year to discuss project implementation and challenges and to review new project proposals. Field visits and financial reports detail progress, and ad hoc meetings are used to address needs and challenges in real time. To ensure accountability, the audit bureau is involved in reviewing the financial reports for accuracy and consistency. During the COVID-19 pandemic,
the MDA provided flexible funds used by the MoH, in addition to other mechanisms such as national fundraising campaigns, to respond to the devastating impact of COVID-19 on the healthcare system.

**Challenges:** The MDA operates within the framework of expanding health coverage to achieve universal health coverage. Funding remains a concern and as donors’ attention shifts to other conflicts, the sustainability of this fund might be jeopardized.

**Lessons learned:** The MDA was an opportunity for the MoH to take ownership of identifying operational gaps and proactively coordinate with donors to address needs. The system developed through the MDA could be a model to adopt as GoJ moves toward an inclusive universal healthcare system. GoJ and donors should work on a mechanism to ensure sufficient funds are available to the MoH as needed to fill the gaps.

### 5.2.3. Ministry of Health

Since the beginning of the Syrian crisis in 2011, the MoH has been providing care to the Syrian refugees in its facilities, contracting with private providers, or referring patients to other providers including university hospitals. Funding of care for Syrian refugees at MoH facilities has two mechanisms. The first is through the MoH budget which accounts for 6-7 percent of the total GoJ budget. The budget was determined using a historical approach and based on the previous year’s budget. In 2018, the GoJ decentralized the budgetary process and asked the governorates to provide a detailed budget set by goals to cover their needs for the coming year. The MoH consolidated all governorates’ budgets and submitted them to the MoF. This was a new and challenging process for the governorates and required training which was provided in subsequent years through the MDA. The second mechanism is the “out-of-the-budget” account where funds are channeled from MOPIC through a bilateral agreement with donors and the MDA.

With the assistance of USAID, the MoH has been introducing HAKIM — a computerized system to capture the utilization of services by the patient, nationality, and insurance status — into its healthcare centers and hospitals. HAKIM allows the MoH to track the number of patients and type of services provided at its facilities and therefore to estimate the cost of care. Currently, HAKIM operates in 14 percent of the MoH centers. However, HAKIM implementation has been unevenly distributed across governorates with most HAKIM-enabled health centers located in central governorates, due to the high volume of patients in these localities. HAKIM is a crucial functionality. It allows the MoH to determine the resources needed (i.e., staffing, capital, and recurrent resources),
the type of services utilized to develop containment plans if needed (e.g., incentives to reduce the prevalence of NCDs), and plans for future investments.

**Opportunities:** With thousands of refugees utilizing services at the MoH facilities, the quality of healthcare deteriorated prompting the MoH to consider different scenarios to improve services [110]. Different options are available but need commitment and financial resources to implement. The first is requiring public health centers to become accredited by the Jordan Health Care Accreditation Council (JHCAC). Synchronizing utilization information by patient type and disease, as well as the cost of care, from HAKIM would allow the MoH to predict the resources needed to provide care at the center level. In partnership with donors, the MoH could develop benchmarks for key health indicators to hold providers accountable for both quality and cost of care. Another scenario mentioned during the interviews with KIIs is to allow all Civil Insurance Program (CIP) beneficiaries to seek care in the private sector, where they would pay a 20 percent co-insurance. Supporters of this option argue this approach would reduce utilization at MoH facilities and allow providers there to provide high-quality care to vulnerable Jordanians and refugees.

**Challenges:** The influx of refugees seeking care at the MoH has direct and indirect costs to the MoH; a direct cost of providing care, and an indirect cost of providing a lower quality of care. The MoH is working through different channels to address both challenges. In the opportunity section, we covered three directions the MoH might consider for improving the quality of care at the MoH facilities, based on the KIIs:

- Requiring all health centers at MoH facilities to obtain health accreditation from the JHCAC. This would require substantial financial and human resources to meet the JHCAC's rigorous accreditation standards, but this could be achieved gradually.
- Building on the MoH's experience in decentralization, accreditation, and digitalization to shift to value-based care. The key challenge with this option is ensuring accurate up-to-date data on utilization and securing a commitment to provide the needed resources. So sustainable funding might be an issue in the short term.
- Opening the door for CIP beneficiaries to utilize services in the private sector.

While MoH, through the CIP, has experience purchasing services from the private sector, the current system is based on volume rather than on the quality of care. Hospitals are required to be accredited but more needs to be done to convert the current system from fee-for-service (FFS) to value-based purchase. This is an area where health system
strengthening activities could contribute to assisting MoH and CIP efforts in shifting healthcare systems from volume-based to value-based financing. The other challenge with this approach is the possibility of developing a two-tier healthcare system where refugees and vulnerable Jordanians use the MoH facilities and the well-off, including those covered by CIP, utilize the private sector. Without an appropriate mechanism to control utilization, this scenario might have an adverse impact on MoH, especially if MoH resources are moved to CIP to pay for care at private facilities.

The health needs of Syrian refugees were tremendous at the beginning of the displacement crisis. This population has been through war and those with chronic diseases were often left untreated which affected their health outcomes. However, these needs began to diminish over time after refugees began to settle down and were able to adopt a healthier lifestyle. Mental health was the most prominent issue among Syrian refugees.

“Then, when they merged into society and lived in the area and so on, their needs became like any disease, influenza, etc., like these diseases. Diseases like the Jordanian citizen. As for the beginning, frankly, because they came from a war we noticed, for example, that they needed more specialized care. I mean, especially from a psychological point of view, there were people among them visiting you I noticed that they were broken, they need psychological care, more psychological care than ordinary curative care.”

KII participant

5.3. Role of U.N. agencies in providing care for refugees

While the MoH provides care for all Syrian refugees regardless of their status, the UNHCR provides care only for those registered with the agency regardless of their residence (i.e., in camps or urban settings). UNHCR operates two camps (Zaatari and Azraq) and provides healthcare for refugees through its implementation partners. The implementation partners include local NGOs, International Medical Corps (IMC), Arabian Medical Relief Saudi clinics, Qatari health facilities, and the Syrian American Medical Society. Most of the care provided in camps is through primary healthcare services, implementing partners’ clinics, and a hospital run by IMC. Most of the services focus on primary healthcare, while secondary and tertiary care go through the UNHCR
referral system, operated by the JHAS and then by IMC, for care outside the camps.

Due to budget constraints, the conditions for referrals are limited to emergency lifesaving conditions with approval from UNHCR. The referral process includes a case review by the Exceptional Medical Care Committee (ECC), which consists of two external medical professionals (one oncologist and one internist), and a representative from UNHCR, to determine the prognosis and cost of each case. On average, the committee reviews 200 to 300 cases each month for referral pathways. However, UNHCR faced a challenge in that under the existing referral system managed by UNHCR partners the unified rate (known as the foreigner’s rate) is charged, which is several times the uninsured Jordanian rate. Of the in-camp cases referred for tertiary care, nearly 70 percent went to private hospitals. The referral to the private sector was seen as cost-effective by the implementing partners. This was due in part to the current agreement between IMC and the MoH, whereby IMC is charged a foreigner rate at public facilities and would need an agreement of understanding to get the subsidized rate, and because of the perception that there might be a long waiting time to get care at MoH hospitals (Source: personal communication with UNHCR official). Of these referrals, 90 percent are lifesaving and end-of-life referrals.

UNHCR solicits implementing partners through an expression of interest advertisement. Interested agencies submit proposals and UNHCR review these proposals through a multifunction team (MFT). The MFT makes a recommendation to the Implementing Partners Management Committee (IPMC). When the IMPC endorses a new partner and approval is received from Geneva, UNHCR signs a Project Partner Agreement with the selected implementing partners. The implementing partners are reimbursed quarterly for services they provided during the previous quarter. To monitor the quality of services, the UNHCR conducts performance visits. The quarterly payment is determined based on information provided by different sources, including the monthly utilization reports submitted by the implementation partners, the health information system, clinical data management, and supply chain management software.

**Opportunities:** In November 2015, UNHCR implemented Cash-for-Access, a program that reimburses Syrian refugees for essential healthcare expenditures. In January 2021, this program was extended to include non-Syrian refugees as well. The provision of cash assistance means refugees can pay for services themselves and are charged the non-insured Jordanian rates. Thus, it is more cost-effective to support the refugee to pay for themselves when they access MoH services. UNHCR has the expertise to contract with and monitor healthcare
providers. It can use health utilization data to estimate the health needs and cost of care in the camps and contract with implementing partners based on the number of refugees who could be enrolled to seek care at the partner’s facility. This information could reduce administrative costs and shift the focus to the population served. While this arrangement might shift the financial risk to providers and the implementation partners, with accurate data and fair compensation this arrangement would allow the implementing partners to be creative in providing healthcare services for their members. It might allow them to address health-related social needs as well, such as providing nutrition advice and medical food plans to refugees with chronic conditions.

**Challenges:** Funding is a critical challenge. The UNHCR budget in Jordan to deal with the Syrian refugee crisis has been reduced annually with an eight percent reduction in 2022, from US$25 million in 2021 (Source: personal communication with UNHCR official) due to donor fatigue and emerging crises elsewhere. In addition, some of the operational partners, including MSF, Moroccan Field Hospital, and International Rescue Committee (IRC) withdrew their services from camps due to lack of funding, reducing the services available and increasing the pressure faced by the local NGOs who continued to operate in the camps amid the COVID-19 pandemic.

**5.4. The future of refugees’ healthcare financing: donors’ perspective**

The World Bank (WB) has two active operations related to refugees’ health. The first operation is the emergency health response required by the GoJ in 2017, whereby the World Bank funded refugees’ services at MoH health centers and focused on reimbursing two-line items including medication provided at the primary and secondary healthcare levels. To estimate the amount spent on refugees, the GoJ hired a third party to verify the medical records at a sample of health centers, reviewed a sample of hospitalized cases from the electronic record at the central ministry or hospitals, and requested the financial audit bureau to conduct the financial audit of the line-item budget. As a result, the WB approved a US$30 million four-year emergency health response project: it disburses US$7.5 million annually to the MoF, which allocates the money to the MoH. While the World Bank is not a signatory to the MDA and doesn’t directly fund this account, the MoH can decide whether to include this annual contribution in the MDA funds. To address the sustainability challenge, the WB collected and created a data set on the utilization of healthcare services. It is currently in the process of analyzing this data to assist in efforts to strengthen the healthcare system through a comprehensive health insurance reform with a focus on refugee health.
The second World Bank-funded operation is the COVID-19 response using Global Concessional Financing Facility (GCFF) concessional financing to procure and deliver vaccines, allowing Jordan to offer the COVID-19 vaccine to all residents, including refugees, regardless of their nationality. This initiative was built on cooperation, with the MoH operating as a technical partner in collaboration with the WHO, USAID, and other partners.

**Opportunities:** TWB has the technical expertise to help the GoJ build the capacity needed to arrive at a consensus regarding the comprehensive healthcare benefits packages, to contract with providers, monitor care, and reduce the program’s waste and fraud. However, this would require access to data currently unavailable to the MoH. In the long term, an alternative would be for donors to consider financing health insurance premiums instead of financing services. Although the MoH is currently not willing to include refugees in existing health insurance schemes or to create a new health insurance scheme unless donors guarantee their continuous commitment to cover the refugees’ health service. Donors must continue engaging the MoH to discuss developing health insurance schemes for refugees, which would help the future integration of refugee health into Jordan’s national system.

**Challenges:** Financial sustainability for refugees’ health is a critical challenge as donors’ funding has been dwindling in the last two years, thus increasing the burden of financing healthcare services on MoH and refugees. The World Bank emergency response operation targets and collects data only on UNHCR-registered refugees. Many Syrian refugees are not currently enrolled with UNHCR for various reasons, including the restrictions on working in certain occupations that work permit registered refugees have, compared to the employment opportunities they might access in the informal sector. Excluding this population from the data collected by WB on access, utilization, and cost of care for refugees leaves the unregistered Syrian refugees at a disadvantage and might misinform the effort to develop an inclusive healthcare system.

KII participants reported that funding has massively decreased in the past two years when compared to the beginning of the Syrian crisis. Initially, due to the urgency of the crisis, there was little monitoring required despite huge funds available but recently there is less funding awarded and yet far higher levels of monitoring and restrictions:

"Loans and grants also decreased by about 50 percent from what they were in the beginning, and this is evidence that the funding will decrease more and more, and the priorities of countries..."
differed, and the priorities of citizens of countries also differed. Therefore, I think that, as a country of Jordan, which has limited resources, it will not be able to continue to provide good quality health services in light of the Corona pandemic.”

KII participant

There has been a sudden ceasing of funds by private funders leaving hundreds of Syrian refugees without healthcare services and leading to several clinics and services closing or downsizing. At the beginning of the Syrian crisis, the money was provided directly from NGOs but now all funding is provided to the MoH and MOPIC. This instability in funding puts pressure both on service providers (staff) and refugees.

“The service was discontinued, for example, in 2014, before we took over it, it was in the Red Cross. There were challenges with the fund, so the hospital was closed for a month, and then it returned to work when we took over it. It was a bad experience for the refugees.” KII participant

5.5. The enhanced role of local NGOs in the provision of healthcare for Syrian refugees

Since the beginning of the conflict, local NGOs stepped up to meet the demand of refugees by providing frontline medical units, healthcare, shelter, camp management, coordinated volunteers’ effort, and 24/7 paramedic services. Local NGOs are categorized into three groups: those who operate in camps only, those who operate outside camps and focus on urban refugees, and those who operate both in camps and in urban settings. Most of the NGOs operating in the refugee camps are funded by donors, and their services focus on primary healthcare and on operating a maternity hospital. The funding arrangement is based on an annual contract, with the possibility of renewal, executed after a lengthy process of writing and submitting a proposal, negotiating with funders, and signing a funding agreement/contract. The local NGOs are reimbursed after submitting a request for payment, usually every quarter. Initially, NGOs working with U.N. agencies procured medical equipment and necessities from the local market. However, the U.N. agencies have changed their procedures and are now conducting global procurement and disseminating medical necessities to their implementing partners based on a list of needs submitted monthly. Some local NGOs have sophisticated Clinical Data Management System
software that allows a single pharmacist to monitor medication stock in clinics and send a request for the needed medication to the U.N. agencies.

**Opportunities:** The conflict in Syria and the influx of refugees led to a dramatic demographic shift in Jordan that overwhelmed the GoJ. However, it was an opportunity for the local NGOs to flourish and contribute to the GoJ’s response to the Syrian crisis. During the past decade, local NGOs enhanced their capacity in writing proposals and winning donors’ funding to support their operations. These new skills could be used to target specific services that might ease the burden of providing primary healthcare at MoH facilities or complement some of the services provided by the MoH. The MDA created a venue where stakeholders from all sectors can meet to discuss refugees’ needs and opportunities to address these needs. This could provide an opportunity for the MoH to delegate some services to local NGOs, especially those that are adopting an inclusive approach to address refugees’ needs including social and health needs. During the COVID-19 pandemic, local NGOs were the only entities providing care in refugee camps, proving their commitment to improving refugees’ health. In addition, some local NGOs are engaged in fund-generated activities, like training, to sustain their services.

**Challenges:** Again, funding and delays in payment from non-U.N. donors are major challenges facing local NGOs in Jordan, as they risk finding themselves in financial limbo and being forced to close operations till funding mechanisms are re-established.

All participants in the KIs agreed that there is no stability in the funds provided for Syrian refugees’ health services. The mode of funding is project-based which leads to a challenge for service providers. It is extremely important to allocate sustainable funding, and not rely on a temporary solution, for financing healthcare services for refugees.

“In my opinion, we cannot remain dependent on loans, we cannot remain dependent on grants, too. The countries of the world are suffering, so one day they will tell us about grants, for example, we will not be able to give you grants that are enough, loans also.” KII participant
6. POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

There is a consensus that an inclusive universal healthcare system that integrates the refugee population is the goal of the GoJ, but the data needed to develop this inclusive system is lacking, and funding, especially for refugees, is not guaranteed. The GoJ and donors should explore the feasibility of a range of options, including expanding the government’s own health insurance system and attempting to gradually include all refugees, rather than creating a separate refugee insurance system. The question here remains who will fund such initiatives? Especially given that registered Syrian refugees have limited employment opportunities, as they can only work in certain occupations open to foreigners; and many sectors with good pay, such as health, engineering, and technical professions, are not available to them.

Strengthening the healthcare system requires a restructuring of the current approach which focuses on the volume of services provided instead of the quality of care. While limited healthcare accreditation is available in Jordan, the full potential of this tool has not been utilized. The GoJ and donors should focus their healthcare-strengthening efforts to create a system that focuses on value instead of volume. This would require investment in digital systems and health information technologies. The MoH has already started this process with the help of USAID through the HAKIM program. Donors and the MoH should enhance the functionality of this program to provide them with the data required for better planning and monitoring. In addition, this data could help in estimating the annual cost per beneficiary which can be used in the future to restructure the health system toward value-based finance. The analysis presented in Chapter 5 is one example of how this data could be used.

Finally, improving the healthcare of refugees and vulnerable Jordanians requires a multisectoral response, to address health-related social needs including employment for both Jordanians and refugees.
CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED

Our findings indicate a limited capacity of health facilities to provide health services as indicated by the mean General Readiness Index (39.5). The health facilities offer a wide range of services; however, the provision of other specific services is insufficient. Both Jordanian nationals and Syrian refugees still face many obstacles in accessing healthcare, such as the cost of health services, long waiting times, and social discrimination, and the majority are not satisfied with the quality of services provided, especially at public hospitals and clinics. Refugees’ health needs are relatively high. The future refugee health system should explore leveraging the strengths of the three sectors (NGOs, private, and public sectors) to improve health services for refugees. Financial sustainability for refugees’ health is a critical challenge as donors’ funding has been dwindling over the last two years, thus increasing the burden of financing healthcare services on MoH and refugees. Funding and delay in payment from non-U.N. donors is also a major challenge facing local NGOs in Jordan, as they might find themselves in financial limbo, and be forced to close operations till funding mechanisms are re-established. Finally, improving the healthcare of refugees and vulnerable Jordanians requires a multisectoral response, to address health-related social needs including employment for both Jordanians and refugees.
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