

1 **Title:** Investigating a Mystery Disease: Tales from a Viral Detective

2

3 **Author:** W. Ian Lipkin¹

4 1. Center for Infection and Immunity, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia

5 University, New York, NY, USA

6

7 **Correspondent footnote:** Please send journal correspondence including page proofs,

8 to W. Ian Lipkin: wil2001@columbia.edu.

9

10 **Abstract:** Viral outbreak investigation is challenging logistically as well as scientifically.

11 In the context of addressing a fictional emerging viral disease I describe the process of

12 discovery, from the initial report of a problem through discussions of intellectual property

13 and sample management, study design, management, experimental execution and

14 reporting of results.

15 **Abstract Word Count:** 50

16

17 **Manuscript Word Count:** 2,409

18

19 Outbreak investigation can be as or more challenging logistically than it is scientifically.
 20 This piece is written to provide insights into the entire process, from the initial report of a
 21 problem through to resolution, in Charlie Chaplin's fictional country of Tomainia (1). To
 22 save on production costs I will play myself and the Greek chorus. Casting for Dr. X is
 23 still open. Enjoy.

24

25 Date: Wed 18 Nov 2014 9:00

26 From promed-ahead@promed.org

27 Subject:

28 ENCEPHALITIS: TOMAINIA, REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

29 ProMED-mail has received a report from a reliable source of rumors of the
 30 occurrence of an outbreak of fatal encephalitis in children in Tomainia. Further
 31 information from any informed person or organization in the area would be
 32 appreciated [C.C].

33

34 *Situational awareness*

35 Social networks are increasingly important early sources of information on outbreaks.
 36 The Program for Monitoring Emerging Diseases (ProMED) founded in 1994, is
 37 frequently the first to report an outbreak. Such reports may begin with a request for
 38 information or as report with substantial detail curated by a moderator who provides
 39 comments that place the report into context (2).

40

41

42 *Contact and vetting for feasibility*

43 ProMED reports may be rapidly followed by messages delivered by phone or email from
44 clinicians or basic scientists who have access to data and materials but do not have the
45 resources needed for diagnosis and discovery. In our experience these messages
46 typically come in during the wee hours of the morning. Indeed, I've yet to field an
47 interesting request for assistance during normal business hours. The first step after
48 making contact is to ascertain whether the outbreak is consistent with an infectious
49 disease, the usual suspects have been considered and what type of investigation
50 samples will allow. I've had requests from investigators at reputable institutions to use
51 an oligonucleotide chip to search for evidence of a prion disease. The story was
52 nonetheless intriguing and we followed it to learn that the outbreak represented an
53 autoimmune disorder triggered by exposure to porcine nervous system tissue (3). A
54 common confound is the lack of samples suitable for molecular discovery. Paraffin
55 embedded tissue, for example, may be useful for immunohistochemistry, *in situ*
56 hybridization or even PCR where assays may have better tolerance for degradation;
57 however, we are reluctant to invest in unbiased high-throughput sequencing with
58 materials that have not been stored at -80C or in buffers designed to preserve nucleic
59 acid integrity.

60

61 **From:** Dr. X

62 **Subject:** Re: hello

63 **Date:** November 19, 2014 at 2:39 AM EDT

64 **To:** "W. Ian Lipkin" <wil2001@columbia.edu>

65 Dear Professor,

66 There is a challenging problem in northern Tomainia. You may have heard of
67 outbreaks of unexplained encephalitis that annually result in hundreds of deaths
68 of children. I would like to collaborate with you in investigating the causes of
69 these illnesses.

70

71 **From:** "W. Ian Lipkin" <wil2001@columbia.edu>

72 **Subject: Re: hello**

73 **Date:** November 19, 2014 at 3:02 AM EDT

74 **To:** Dr. X

75 Dear Dr. X.

76 We will be happy to collaborate with you on this project. Please suggest a good
77 time to reach you by phone or Skype.

78

79 *Negotiation*

80 Despite the June 2013 United States Supreme Court ruling in the Association for
81 Molecular Pathology vs. Myriad Genetics that naturally occurring DNA cannot be
82 patented (4), many institutions continue to invest in intellectual property associated with
83 the discovery of microbial gene sequences. It has always been our policy to insist that
84 partners in discovery efforts share equally in whatever equity might arise from
85 collaborations. The financial value of these collaborations is unclear; nonetheless, we
86 continue to include language that reinforces this principal as well academic credit in
87 authorships. A wrinkle that may come up at the publication stage is that authors may be

88 proposed who have had no role in the study design or execution, and may not even
 89 have read the manuscript. Although it is premature to discuss the criteria for authorship
 90 during the initial conversation it is unwise to leave it until after the manuscript is
 91 prepared. Patient confidentiality receives less emphasis in some countries than others.
 92 We recommend de-identifying samples to obviate Institutional Review Board concerns.

93
 94 **From:** "W. Ian Lipkin" <wil2001@columbia.edu>

95 **Subject: Re: hello**

96 **Date:** November 19, 2014 at 9:18 AM EDT

97 **To:** Dr. X

98 Dear Dr. X.

99 Thanks for taking our call. We will need a material transfer agreement signed by
 100 officials at our respective institutions as well as you and me. As discussed,
 101 intellectual property and authorships will be jointly shared. All samples must be
 102 de-identified to protect the confidentiality of patients and their families.

103
 104 *Material Transfer, Sovereignty and the International Health Regulations*

105 The Convention on Biological Diversity was initiated by the United Nations Environment
 106 Programme in 1998 to codify that biological diversity is a global asset and that benefits
 107 arising from use of such assets (including microbial sequences and strains) should be
 108 equitably shared (5). The 2005 International Health Regulations signed by 196 countries
 109 across the globe emphasize capacity building as well as transparency and collaborative
 110 surveillance. Although a material transfer agreement addressing equity should provide

111 reassurance against exploitation, we increasingly encounter resistance to sample export.
112 Furthermore, samples from ungulates in some countries cannot be readily transported
113 due to the potential risks to agriculture such as foot and mouth disease virus.
114 Accordingly, we have built a mobile laboratory that allows us to pursue nucleic acid
115 extractions, targeted molecular assays and serology in-country. This laboratory proved
116 invaluable recently in studying the prevalence of MERS-CoV infection dromedaries in
117 Saudi Arabia (6). We also train colleagues in the developing world to promote self
118 sufficiency. Nonetheless, microbial nucleic acid enrichment, library preparation high-
119 throughput sequencing (HTS) and downstream bioinformatics analysis require state-of-
120 the-art infrastructure. In the 5-10 year time frame, therefore, discovery is likely to require
121 a blend of work at the site of the outbreak and in a reference laboratory.

122

123 **From:** Dr. X

124 **Subject: Re: hello**

125 **Date:** November 20, 2014 at 3:40 AM EDT

126 **To:** "W. Ian Lipkin" <wil2001@columbia.edu>

127 Dear Professor,

128 Tomanian officials told me that we cannot send human biological materials
129 sample from Tomania abroad. Can we do the work here?

130

131 **From:** "W. Ian Lipkin" <wil2001@columbia.edu>

132 **Subject: Re: hello**

133 **Date:** November 20, 2014 at 5:33 AM EDT

134 **To:** Dr. X

135 Dear Dr. X,

136 We will send a team to work with you. However, in the event that PCR fails, I
137 recommend that you join us for a few weeks to finish the workup with high-
138 throughput sequencing.

139

140 *The hunt begins...*

141 Your colleagues may insist that they have ruled out the usual suspects known to cause
142 disease in a specific catchment area and to ask you to move directly to HTS. Don't do it.
143 More often than not a few simple consensus PCR reactions will solve the mystery.
144 Similarly, although our focus here is on virology, keep an open mind to bacterial, fungal
145 and/or parasitic causes of disease. We have implicated *P. falciparum* in a medical relief
146 worker who died during a Marburg outbreak (7). Additionally, bacteria and viruses may
147 be more pathogenic in concert. During the H1N1 influenza pandemic, the presence of *S.*
148 *pneumonia* in addition to H1N1 influenza was associated with a more than 100-fold
149 increased risk of severe disease (8). We only appreciated the importance of co-infection
150 after sequencing hundreds of influenza viruses in an effort to understand an increase in
151 morbidity and mortality in Argentina.

152 Communicate with the clinicians as well as the laboratorians. There are exceptions
153 where application of HTS discovery methods leads to resolution of a single case and a
154 high profile publication. However, these will typically be cases where one implicates an
155 agent that is known to be pathogenic and a simple solution like targeted consensus
156 PCR would have succeeded more rapidly and at lower cost. Clinicians can be helpful in

157 providing a differential diagnosis that may allow such targeted assays. In an effort to
 158 identify clusters of disease, laboratorians frequently oversimplify clinical data. It is
 159 important to determine the basis by which clusters have been identified. In the ideal
 160 circumstance, cases within clusters are clearly related with respect to timing of onset,
 161 geography, subject age and syndromic features. However, even in the absence of a
 162 classical cluster, one can frequently tease out which samples can be considered
 163 together and which should not through detailed discussion with the clinicians who
 164 collected the samples. Similarly, to avoid investing in samples that may be
 165 contaminated or degraded, it is important to communicate with the laboratorian
 166 regarding how samples have been processed and stored. Bear in mind the adage
 167 “garbage in, garbage out”. We have been frustrated more than once to learn in
 168 retrospect that gloves or dissecting tools were used continuously to process multiple
 169 samples from different individuals, resulting in the appearance of a cluster wherein
 170 several individuals were infected with the same agent. Another challenge is laboratories
 171 in which sample freezers are adjacent to tissue culture facilities where viruses are
 172 passaged. One can sort artifact from reality at later steps in the discovery process
 173 through collection of additional samples or testing for the presence of viruses within
 174 cells using immunohistochemistry or *in situ* hybridization; however, this is time
 175 consuming and requires additional resources.

176

177 *Controls*

178 The selection and use of controls in viral discovery projects are critical but complex. In
 179 bacterial microbiome projects (I don't think that virologists, mycologists or parasitologists

180 should cede the term microbiome to bacteriology), we simultaneously profile bacterial
 181 populations of cases and controls based on the assumption neither cases nor controls
 182 are sterile. We typically pursue viral discovery in cases alone. This is not because
 183 controls are sterile, but because the lower number of viral sequences in most clinical
 184 samples allows one to more easily sift through them to identify candidates that can be
 185 tested for relationship with disease using less expensive and more sensitive methods
 186 such as PCR. A more critical step is the selection of controls. There are instances
 187 where investigators have collected cases of a disease within a specific catchment area
 188 during an outbreak of disease and reported identifying the cause of the disease based
 189 on absence of the agent in controls collected during a different season in the same
 190 catchment area. It is imperative that controls be selected to ensure that findings in
 191 cases are associated with disease rather than variability in virus incidence with season,
 192 geography or socioeconomic status.

193

194 *Fallability of the Willy Sutton rule*

195 The apocryphal Willie Sutton quote (denied by Sutton) that he robbed banks “because
 196 that’s where the money is” (Willie Sutton and Edward Linn, Where the Money was:
 197 Memoirs of a Bank Robber) can direct sampling when the affected organ is readily
 198 accessible as in respiratory, diarrheal, genitourinary and skin diseases or hemorrhagic
 199 fevers. However, central nervous system (CNS) diseases and autoimmune disorders
 200 may require a less direct approach. Brain biopsies are rare and although we have used
 201 postmortem samples to enable efficient viral discovery (9, 10), a post mortem diagnosis
 202 is not as gratifying as an ante mortem finding that impacts patient care. Examples of the

203 latter include the discovery and implication of LuJo virus in a hemorrhagic fever
204 outbreak where use of ribavirin probably saved one victim (11) and of a novel
205 polyomavirus in a transplant recipient wherein assays for viremia facilitated regulation of
206 immunomodulatory therapy, controlling infection while sparing the transplanted organ
207 (12).

208 Most often the only available CNS sample is cerebrospinal fluid. In bacterial CNS
209 infections, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) culture and PCR are frequently informative;
210 however, with the exception of herpes encephalitis, the rate for resolution of viral CNS
211 infections is 50% or lower (13). One can increase this success rate by examining oral
212 and fecal samples by PCR for the presence of viruses known to be associated with
213 CNS infection (e.g. enteroviruses) or by testing CSF for antibodies to neurotropic
214 viruses (e.g., West Nile virus). Some cases of what appear to be infectious encephalitis
215 are in fact autoimmune disorders wherein antibodies bind to brain components such as
216 receptors. Although it is important to distinguish the latter as they respond to
217 immunosuppressive rather than antiviral or antimicrobial therapy, these disorders do not
218 present in clusters as they typically represent paraneoplastic syndromes. You will also
219 want serum, preferably collected during the illness and few weeks later, to test for
220 evidence of an immune response to the agent or agents you implicate using molecular
221 methods.

222

223 **From:** "W. Ian Lipkin" <wil2001@columbia.edu>

224 **Subject: Re: hello**

225 **Date:** January 14, 2014 at 9:47 AM EDT

226 **To:** Dr. X

227 Dear Dr. X,

228 As discussed, we started with a multiplex PCR assay that targets 20 bacteria and
229 viruses frequently implicated in CNS infections. Five of the 10 children in the July
230 outbreak had enterovirus sequences in their CSF by 5'UTR; 9 had enterovirus
231 sequences in their feces. Although we don't have CSF from normal children, only 2
232 of 10 had viral sequences in their feces. VP1 sequencing indicates that all children
233 were infected with the same genotype. I think we can be confident that this is the
234 agent. Nonetheless, let's build a LIPS assay (14) and test for changes in antibody
235 titer in acute and convalescent sera. We can also use this same assay to determine
236 the prevalence of infection in the general population and, if you have samples stored
237 from earlier years, when the infection first emerged in Tomainia. We are less clear in
238 the cases collected in November outbreak. No real clustering... just a mix of what
239 appear to be opportunistic infections in association with HIV--cryptococcus,
240 toxoplasma and Epstein Barr virus. The December cases are particularly interesting.
241 HTS of brain material from the one postmortem specimen after treatment with
242 nucleases to eliminate nucleic acid not protected within nucleocapsids revealed the
243 presence of a novel flavivirus that we have tentatively named Tomainian flavivirus
244 (TFV). *In situ* hybridization confirms the presence of virus in neurons. We created
245 real time PCR and LIPS assays and found that 3 of 5 cases had TFV sequences in
246 their CSF and all 5 had antibodies to TFV in CSF and blood. We've also found
247 serum antibodies in 100 of 500 random controls; thus, it seems that while TFV is not
248 a rare infection, the majority of infections do not result in encephalitis. The similarity

249 in presentation to West Nile encephalitis led us to investigate mosquito pools using
 250 PCR. The vector seems to be *Culex tomainia*. The reservoir is still unknown;
 251 however, I've seen reports of dead dodos in Jurassic park. We could wait to close
 252 that loop but what we have already is more than sufficient for a high impact
 253 publication.

254

255 **Acknowledgements:**

256 I am grateful for thoughtful comments from Ellie Kahn, Amit Kapoor, Thomas Brieze
 257 and Mady Hornig.

258

259 **References:**

- 260 1. **Chaplin C.** 1940. The Great Dictator. United Artists.
- 261 2. **ProMED-mail** 2010. About ProMED-mail. International Society for Infectious
 262 Diseases. [Online.]
- 263 3. **Holzbauer SM, DeVries AS, Sejvar JJ, Lees CH, Adjemian J, McQuiston JH,**
 264 **Medus C, Lexau CA, Harris JR, Recuenco SE, Belay ED, Howell JF, Buss**
 265 **BF, Hornig M, Gibbins JD, Brueck SE, Smith KE, Danila RN, Lipkin WI,**
 266 **Lachance DH, Dyck PJ, Lynfield R.** 2010. Epidemiologic investigation of
 267 immune-mediated polyradiculoneuropathy among abattoir workers exposed to
 268 porcine brain. PLoS one 5:e9782.
- 269 4. **Supreme Court of the United States.** 2013. Association for Molecular
 270 Pathology Et al. v. Myriad Genetics, Inc. Et al., vol. 12-398.

- 271 5. **Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity** 2014. Convention on
272 Biological Diversity. [Online.]
- 273 6. **Alagaili AN, Briese T, Mishra N, Kapoor V, Sameroff SC, Burbelo PD, de Wit**
274 **E, Munster VJ, Hensley LE, Zalmout IS, Kapoor A, Epstein JH, Karesh WB,**
275 **Daszak P, Mohammed OB, Lipkin WI.** 2014. Middle East respiratory syndrome
276 coronavirus infection in dromedary camels in Saudi Arabia. *mBio* **5**:e00884-
277 00814.
- 278 7. **Palacios G, Quan PL, Jabado OJ, Conlan S, Hirschberg DL, Liu Y, Zhai J,**
279 **Renwick N, Hui J, Hegyi H, Grolla A, Strong JE, Towner JS, Geisbert TW,**
280 **Jahrling PB, Buchen-Osmond C, Ellerbrok H, Sanchez-Seco MP, Lussier Y,**
281 **Formenty P, Nichol MS, Feldmann H, Briese T, Lipkin WI.** 2007. Panmicrobial
282 oligonucleotide array for diagnosis of infectious diseases. *Emerging infectious*
283 *diseases* **13**:73-81.
- 284 8. **Palacios G, Hornig M, Cisterna D, Savji N, Bussetti AV, Kapoor V, Hui J,**
285 **Tokarz R, Briese T, Baumeister E, Lipkin WI.** 2009. Streptococcus
286 pneumoniae coinfection is correlated with the severity of H1N1 pandemic
287 influenza. *PloS one* **4**:e8540.
- 288 9. **Briese T, Jia XY, Huang C, Grady LJ, Lipkin WI.** 1999. Identification of a
289 Kunjin/West Nile-like flavivirus in brains of patients with New York encephalitis.
290 *Lancet* **354**:1261-1262.
- 291 10. **Quan PL, Wagner TA, Briese T, Torgerson TR, Hornig M,**
292 **Tashmukhamedova A, Firth C, Palacios G, Baisre-De-Leon A, Paddock CD,**
293 **Hutchison SK, Egholm M, Zaki SR, Goldman JE, Ochs HD, Lipkin WI.** 2010.

- 294 Astrovirus encephalitis in boy with X-linked agammaglobulinemia. Emerging
295 infectious diseases **16**:918-925.
- 296 11. **Briese T, Paweska JT, McMullan LK, Hutchison SK, Street C, Palacios G,**
297 **Khristova ML, Weyer J, Swanepoel R, Egholm M, Nichol ST, Lipkin WI.** 2009.
298 Genetic detection and characterization of Lujo virus, a new hemorrhagic fever-
299 associated arenavirus from southern Africa. PLoS pathogens **5**:e1000455.
- 300 12. **Mishra N, Pereira M, Rhodes RH, An P, Pipas JM, Jain K, Kapoor A, Briese**
301 **T, Faust PL, Lipkin WI.** 2014. Identification of a Novel Polyomavirus in a
302 Pancreatic Transplant Recipient With Retinal Blindness and Vasculitic Myopathy.
303 The Journal of infectious diseases.
- 304 13. **Kennedy PG.** 2005. Viral encephalitis. Journal of neurology **252**:268-272.
- 305 14. **Burbelo PD, Ching KH, Bush ER, Han BL, Iadarola MJ.** 2010. Antibody-
306 profiling technologies for studying humoral responses to infectious agents. Expert
307 review of vaccines **9**:567-578.
- 308