STI 102:

Mycoplasma genitalium, Trichomonas, HSV (Non-gonococcal urethritis/genital ulcer disease)

Jacob McLean, DO
Adult Infectious Diseases
Assistant Professor of Medicine at Columbia
University Medical Center
Core Faculty, NYC STD Prevention Training Center
jm5146@cumc.columbia.edu



Disclosures

• The author and their spouses/partners wish to disclose they have no financial interests or other relationships with the manufacturers of commercial products, suppliers of commercial services, or commercial supporters.



Objectives

- Revisit the differential diagnosis of non-gonococcal urethritis
- Touch briefly on workup for NGU
- Describe Mycoplasma genitalium (Mgen) presentation and testing
- Discuss treatment for Mgen, including rationale, resistance concerns, and options for treatment failure
- Briefly review the differential and workup for genital ulcer disease
- Describe genital herpes presentation and epidemiology
- Discuss HSV diagnosis, treatment strategies, and transmission prevention



Burning Questions



- Jack is a 35 year old man who presents to sexual health clinic where he is seen regularly for PrEP
- C/o 5 days of dysuria and mucopurulent penile discharge
- Sexual hx: chlamydia urethritis 3 months ago treated at outside clinic with 1 gram azithromycin x 1. 5 male and 2 female sex partners in the last 3 months, reports condomless anal (receptive and insertive), vaginal, and oral sex
- Exam: no active discharge, trace meatal irritation
- You order comprehensive STI/HIV testing including urine GC/CT, and prescribe empiric CTX 500 mg IM x 1 + doxycycline 100 mg BID x 7 days
- Urine G/C is negative, and symptoms continue...



What workup would you send?

Choose all that apply:


- A: RPR with reflex to FTA-ABS
- B: HSV-1/HSV-2 PCR (urine)
- C: Repeat gonorrhea/chlamydia NAAT
- D: Urinalysis with microscopy
- E: Trichomonas NAAT
- F: Mycoplasma genitalium NAAT
- G: Urine bacterial culture
- H: PET-CT of the entire body



Non-Gonococcal Urethritis

Non-chlamydial ^

Etiology



- C. trachomatis (15 40%)
- M. genitalium (15-25%)
- T. vaginalis (1-8%)
- HSV (3%)
- N. meningitidis
- Other bacteria (i.e. *H. influenzae*)
- Other viruses (i.e. adenovirus, EBV)
- UNKNOWN (~50%)!



Non-GC, non-CT urethritis workup

- Trichomonas urine NAAT (if MSW)
- Mycoplasma genitalium NAAT
- Consider HSV PCR

Less likely cause of isolated urethritis in females. Consider pelvic exam

NOT M hominis, Ureaplasma spp

- Confirm urethritis!
 - Mucoid/purulent urethral discharge on exam
 - First-void urine : +LE or >10 WBC/HPF



• If neither: consider e.g. chronic prostatitis/CPP, interstitial cystitis



What workup would you send?

- A: RPR with reflex to FTA-ABS
- B: HSV-1/HSV-2 PCR (urine)
- C: Repeat gonorrhea/chlamydia NAAT
- D: Urinalysis with microscopy
- E: Trichomonas NAAT
- F: Mycoplasma genitalium NAAT
- G: Urine bacterial culture
- H: PET-CT of the entire body



Jack's results

Work-up:

UA: 20 WBCs

Trichomonas urine NAAT: neg

M. genitalium NAAT: positive


HSV urine PCR: neg





Molli-not-so-cute

- Mollicutes: class of bacteria distinguished by lack of a peptidoglycan cell wall
 - medically significant genera include Mycoplasma and Ureaplasma
- Largely parasitic and intracellular, very small genome size—some of the smallest and simplest living things
- Difficult to culture, diagnosis depends on molecular testing
- Simplicity confers intrinsic resistance to many antibiotics
 - No peptidoglycan no beta lactams, glycopeptides, or fosfomycin
 - No enzymes for folic acid metabolism no TMP-SMX
 - Intracellular poor activity of most aminoglycosides
 - Mutations in RNA polymerase no rifampin





M genitalium (Mgen) epidemiology

- 2017-2018 NHANES: overall prevalence about 1.7% among people in the US aged 14-59
 - Other series with prevalence in the general population closer to 5%
 - In series from US STI clinics, prevalence 26% among women, 28.7% among men
 - Higher prevalence among people with HIV
 - Prevalence not increased among MSM
 - In this group, M genitalium detected in rectal >urine >> pharyngeal specimens
 - Concordance among couples is high, approximately 40-50% in heterosexual couples. One Australian study showed concordance among MSM of 27%



Syndromes associated with Mgen

Male:

- Urethritis: 20-25% of non-gonococcal, non-chlamydial urethritis, and 40% of persistent or recurrent urethritis
- Proctitis: conflicting association in MSM between rectal Mgen detection and symptoms; weak or not present
- Pharyngitis: Mgen has not been demonstrated as a cause
- No clear association with chornic complications e.g. prostatitis



- Cervicitis Mgen detected in 10-30% of women with clinical cervicitis. Co-infection common, but also studies showing cytokine normalization after treatment
- PID multiple studies demonstrate greater frequency of Mgen in women with PID, but generally cross-sectional. No clear prospective evidence of cause, or trial data showing that treatment of Mgen cervicitis prevents PID.
- Pregnancy/fertility-related complications— separate discussion!



Back to Jack. What's our treatment?

- A: Minocycline 100 mg BID x 14 days
- B: Doxycycline 100 mg BID x 7 days, followed by azithromycin 1 gram x 1, then 500 mg daily x 3 days
- C: Azithromycin 1 gram x 1
- D: Doxycycline 100 mg BID x 7 days followed by moxifloxacin 400 mg daily x 7 days
- E: Moxifloxacin 400 mg daily x 14 days
- F: Bloodletting to rebalance his humours



Treatment – why so complicated?

- Intrinsic resistance leading to reliance on <u>ribosomal</u> agents with good <u>intracellular</u> activity
 - primary classes with activity include macrolides, tetracyclines, and quinolones


 Azithromycin 1 gram x 1 was previously the standard for syndromic treatment of non-gonococcal urethritis, and had good efficacy against M genitalium, but...




Macrolide Resistance in M. genitalium

Driven by 5 SNP mutations in the 23S rRNA gene


- Pooled microbial cure rate 77.2%
 - Prior to 2009 85.3%
 - Since 2009 67%







Macrolide Resistance in M. genitalium



Seattle, WA

62% (hetero men)¹
69% (men w/urethritis)²
90% (MSM)³

Los Angeles, CA 80% (clinic attendees)⁴

- Romano 2018; ²Bachmann 2019; ³Cham
 ⁷Xiao 2019; ⁸Dionne-Odom 2018
- Slide credit: Lisa Manhart



Pittsburgh, PA 58% (men w/urethritis)²

Birmingham, AL

44% (STD Clinic)⁶


61% (hetero couples)⁷

61% (men w/urethritis)²

74% (HIV+ MSM)⁸



Quinolone resistance in M genitalium




- Meta-analysis included 25 studies reporting SNPs associated with quinolone resistance
- Global rate 2016-2017: 9.3%
- Americas region rate: 10.1% (insufficient data for temporal trend)



Doxycycline treatment failures in M. Gen



- 59% had a negative test of cure following treatment
- An additional 35% of symptomatic patients without negative test of cure experienced resolution of symptoms





But doxy has its advantages

JOURNAL ARTICLE

Identification of 16S rRNA mutations in Mycoplasma genitalium potentially associated with tetracycline resistance in vivo but not selected in vitro in M. genitalium and Chlamydia trachomatis ••

Chloé Le Roy, Arabella Touati, Carla Balcon, Justine Garraud, Jean-Michel Molina, Béatrice Berçot, Bertille de Barbeyrac, Sabine Pereyre, Olivia Peuchant, Cécile Bébéar Author Notes

Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, Volume 76, Issue 5, May 2021, Pages 1150–1154, https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkab016

- 106 specimens of M. genitalium collected at the French National Reference Centre for Bacterial STIs from 2017-2019
- Samples passaged for 30 generations in subinhibitory concentrations of doxycycline or tetracycline
- No isolates developed elevated MICs to doxycycline at the end of the experiment
- 6 specimens had 16S rRNA mutations associated with doxy resistance in other organisms, but were still in vitro susceptible



Resistance-guided sequential therapy

Clinical Infectious Diseases

MAJOR ARTICLE







Outcomes of Resistance-guided Sequential Treatment of *Mycoplasma genitalium* Infections: A Prospective Evaluation


Tim R. H. Read, ¹² Christopher K. Fairley, ^{1,2} Gerald L. Murray, ^{3,4,5,6} Jorgen S. Jensen, ⁷ Jennifer Danielewski, ^{3,4} Karen Worthington, ² Michelle Doyle, ² Elisa Mokany, ⁸ Litty Tan, ⁸ Eric P. F. Chow, ^{1,2} Suzanne M. Garland, ^{3,4,5,9} and Catriona S. Bradshaw^{1,2}

¹Central Clinical School, Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, ²Melbourne Sexual Health Centre, Alfred Health, Carlton, ³Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Parkville, ³Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Royal Women's Hospital, Melbourne, ³Infection and Immunity Program, Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute, and ⁸Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ³Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁸SpeeDx Pty Ltd, Eveleigh, New South Wales, and ⁸Department of Distetrics and Gynaecology, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ³Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁸SpeeDx Pty Ltd, Eveleigh, New South Wales, and ⁸Department of Distetrics and Gynaecology, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ³Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁸SpeeDx Pty Ltd, Eveleigh, New South Wales, and ⁸Department of Distetrics and Gynaecology, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ⁹Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁸SpeeDx Pty Ltd, Eveleigh, New South Wales, and ⁸Department of Distetrics and Gynaecology, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ⁹Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁸SpeeDx Pty Ltd, Eveleigh, New South Wales, and ⁸Department of Distetrics and Gynaecology, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ⁹Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁸SpeeDx Pty Ltd, Eveleigh, New South Wales, and ⁸Department of Distetrics and Gynaecology, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ⁹Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁸SpeeDx Pty Ltd, Eveleigh, New South Wales, Australia; ⁹Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁸SpeeDx Pty Ltd, Eveleigh, New South Wales, Australia; ⁹Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁸SpeeDx Pty Ltd, Eveleigh, New South Wales, Australia; ⁹Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁹SpeeDx Pty Ltd, Eveleigh, New South Wales, Australia; ⁹Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, De

(See the Major Article by Braun et al on pages 569-76 and Editorial commentary by Sulkowski on pages 577-9.)

Background. Rising macrolide and quinolone resistance in *Mycoplasma genitalium* necessitate new treatment approaches. We evaluated outcomes of sequential antimicrobial therapy for *M. genitalium* guided by a macrolide-resistance assay.


Methods. In mid-2016, Melbourne Sexual Health Centre switched from azithromycin to doxycycline (100 mg twice daily for 7 days) for nongonococcal urethritis, cervicitis, and proctitis. Cases were tested for M. genitalium and macrolide-resistance mutations (MRMs) by polymerase chain reaction. Directly after doxycycline, MRM-negative infections received 2.5 g azithromycin (1 g, then 500 mg daily for 3 days), and MRM-positive infections received sitafloxacin (100 mg twice daily for 7 days). Assessment of test of cure and reinfection risk occurred 14–90 days after the second antibiotic.





Resistance Guided, sequential therapy (cont.)

- Success rates of 92-95% with this strategy
- Similar rates (92%)
 demonstrated by the same
 group when moxifloxacin
 was used in place of
 sitafloxacin
- Low (~5%) treatmentassociated macrolide resistance





Sounds good, but...



- Molecular tests with detection of macrolide resistance not yet cleared by FDA
- Testing from commercial labs (e.g. LabCorp and ARUP) may be available in your area
- Another option is sending specimens out to University of Alabama at Birmingham
 - Now with quinolone RAM testing!

| Test Menu | Acceptable Specimens | Transport/Processing Details | Turnarou nd Time | CPT Code |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------|-------------|
| PCR- Mycoplasma | Cervical swab | Collection Device: Sterile container (can also | 1-4 days | 87581 |
| genitalium | • Throat | be used as transport if received with 48 | | |
| Includes detection of | • Urine | hours of collection and kept 2-8C) | | |
| macrolide resistance | Urogenital swab | Transport Media: Mycoplasma Ureaplasma | | |
| | Vaginal swab | transport media | | |
| | | (examples: M4, M5, UTM, UVTM, eSwab) | | |



Jack at Last

A: Minocycline 100 mg BID x 14 days

B: Doxycycline 100 mg BID x 7 days, followed by azithromycin 1 gram x 1, then 500 mg daily x 3 days

C: Azithromycin 1 gram x 1

D: Doxycycline 100 mg BID x 7 days followed by moxifloxacin 400 mg daily x 7 days - default treatment for most US M gen cases at this time

E: Moxifloxacin 400 mg daily x 14 days



Treatment failure

Minocycline

- Slightly lower MICs than doxycycline observed
- Largest case series of 90 patients with macrolide resistant M gen – 66.7% cure rate [1]
 - 100 mg BID x 14 days
 - 62 had failed tx with moxifloxacin

Pristinamycin

- 85/114 (75%) of patients with macrolide resistant
 M gen cured with 10 days treatment
- Not available in the USA







Mycoplasma genitalium Treatment Failure Registry

The purpose of this form is to collect clinical information on cases of *Mycoplasma genitalium* that fail antimicrobial therapy. All reported information will be maintained in the strictest confidence.



Wasn't there something about pregnancy?

- 2022 meta-analysis assessed risk of adverse pregnancy and peri-natal outcomes
 - Pre-term birth: strongest evidence, OR of approximately 2
 - Oddly greater than OR for this outcome with gonorrhea/chlamydia/trichomonas
 - Unable to assess confounding due to lack of adjustment for variables other than age
 - Spontaneous abortion: OR = 1
 - PROM, low birth weight, perinatal death: minimal data
- Authors conclude that there is insufficient evidence to recommend screening for Mgen in asymptomatic pregnant patients



Mgen in the pregnant patient

- Moxifloxacin and doxycycline not routinely recommended for use during pregnancy
- Given lack of clear evidence for harms, reasonable to defer therapy if no sxs
- For patients with symptoms, azithromycin is the only drug routinely recommended for use
 - In this group, reasonable to send resistance testing to UAB
 - If macrolide-susceptible, can treat with azithromycin 4-day course
 - If resistant, risk-benefit conversation with patient, then potential treatment after delivery



And yet...

ONE ASSAY, MULTIPLE POSSIBILITIES REDEFINING THE FUTURE OF STI TESTING WITH OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY



Chlamydia trachomatis (CT) Neisseria gonorrhoeae (NG) Trichomonas vaginalis (TV) Mycoplasma genitalium (MG)

Alinity m STI assay is a 4-in-1 multiplex assay to detect and differentiate CT, TV, MG, and NG to aid in the diagnosis of infection from these organisms.

- Enter the multiplex PCR
- Used at some sites as part of reutine prenatal screening rather than G/C alone
- Can result in detection of Mgen n asymptomatic prople, especially pregnant patients!

ANALYTES

Anyplex™ II STI-7e Detection

- · Chlamydia trachomatis (CT)
- · Mycoplasma genitalium (MG)
- Mycoplasma hominis (MH)
- · Neisseria gonorrhoeae (NG)
- · Trichomonas vaginalis (TV)
- · Ureaplasma parvum (UP)
- · Ureaplasma urealyticum (UU)
- · Exogenous Internal Control



Take Home

- Mycoplasma genitalium is a relatively common inhabitant of the male and female GU tract
- Clinical syndromes include urethritis in males, possibly proctitis. In females: cervicitis and PID—data are not adequate to demonstrate Mgen causality for preterm birth, spontaneous abortion, perinatal death
- Mgen cell makeup confers intrinsic resistance to many antibiotics
 - Macrolide resistance is prevalent, and fluoroquinolone resistance rising



Take home (cont)

- Treatment relies on sequential therapy with doxycycline followed by moxifloxacin (unless resistance testing available)
- Options for treatment failure in the US include minocycline, potentially checking for macrolide susceptibility
- Options for treatment of pregnant patients are extremely limited—no indication for screening in the absence of symptoms



Questions?




Eyes for a Sore Site

- Jill is a 29 year old woman who presents to her PCP for an urgent visit
- Complains of painful genital lesions x 5 days. Mild fever at symptom onset resolved. Also dysuria, which is improving. No vaginal discharge, pelvic pain.
- No history of anything similar, no new cleansing products/detergents. Has 1 new male sexual partner x 6 months, reports oral sex (gives and receives), and vaginal sex. Stopped using condoms 4 months ago after negative STI screening. Has not seen genital lesions on her partner.
- Exam: many small erythematous erosions of the labia majora and minora as well as surrounding skin, a few have started to crust. Bilateral tender inguinal lymphadenopathy.



Physical Exam





What workup would you send for Jill?

Choose all that apply

- A: RPR with reflex to FTA-ABS
- B: HIV ab/ag
- C: HSV-1/HSV-2 IgG/IgM
- D: HSV-2 lesion PCR
- E: HSV-1/HSV-2 lesion PCR
- F: Urine gonorrhea/chlamydia NAAT
- G: Multiplex PCR panel with ALL STIs



Genital ulcer disease ddx

| Syndrome | Differential | Distinguishing features |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Genital ulcer | | |
| | HSV | History of prior outbreaks, systemic symptoms are rare in non-primary infection. |
| | Primary syphilis | Ulcer is typically painless. Rectal ulcers , however, may be painful. |
| | Mpox | Systemic symptoms present at some time during course, papular-stage lesions often umbilicated |
| | LGV | Ulcer typically painless, often resolved at time of presentation. Inguinal LAD pronounced in men. Proctocolitis for rectal infection. |
| | Chancroid/Granuloma Inguinale | Rare in the USA. |
| Rash (localized or general) | | |
| | VZV | Dermatomal distribution (shingles), isolated anogenital involvement less common |
| Ev | Molluscum contagiosum | Lesions typically painless, systemic symptoms and mucosal involvement less common |

What workup would you send for Jill?

Choose all that apply

- A: RPR with reflex to FTA-ABS
- B: HIV ab/ag
- C: HSV-1/HSV-2 IgG/IgM
- D: HSV-2 only lesion PCR
- E: HSV-1/HSV-2 lesion PCR
- F: Urine gonorrhea/chlamydia NAAT
- G: Multiplex PCR panel with ALL STIs



Jill's results

Work-up:

HSV-1 PCR: positive

HSV-2 PCR: negative

HIV ab/ag: neg

RPR neg

3-site G/C NAAT neg





HSV virology/epidemiology

- Transmission via direct contact with lesions or saliva
- Primary infection with higher incidence of systemic sxs, higher severity
- Latency in sensory nerves, followed by episodes of reactivation
 - HSV-1 reactivates more efficiently from trigeminal ganglia (orolabial herpes)
 - HSV-2 reactivates more efficiently from sacral dorsal root ganglia (anogenital herpes)
 - EITHER HSV can cause genital herpes. Rates of HSV-1 genital herpes are rising
 - Recurrence and asymptomatic shedding more common with HSV-2
- From 2015-2016, 11.9% of US adults aged 14-49 were HSV-2+, and 47.8% HSV-1+
- Rate of clinical genital herpes 2019-2021: 236-280 per 100,000 person/years

Margolis TP, Imai Y, Yang L, Vallas V, Krause PR. Herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) establishes latent infection in a different population of ganglionic neurons than HSV-1: role of latency-associated transcripts. J Virol. 2007 Feb;81(4):1872-8.

McQuillan G, Kruszon-Moran D, Flagg EW, Paulose-Ram R. Prevalence of herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2 in persons aged 14–49: United States, 2015–2016. NCHS Data Brief, no 304. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2018.

Jain, Purva PhD, MPH⁺; Embry, Alan PhD[†]; Arakaki, Brent BS⁺; Estevez, Irisdaly MPH⁺; Marcum, Zachary A. PharmD, PhD⁺; Viscidi, Emma PhD, MHS[†]. Prevalence of Genital Herpes and Antiviral Treatment. Sexually Transmitted Diseases 51(10):p 686-693, October 2024.

Diagnosis: Virologic Tests (when lesions are present)

Detection of HSV from genital ulcers or mucocutaneous lesions (PCR or viral culture)



HSV PCR is the preferred diagnostic test

- FDA cleared PCR based HSV tests
 - Sensitive and specific
 - Can distinguish HSV-1 from HSV-2
- Viral culture
 - Low sensitivity (especially for recurrent lesions and in healing lesions)
 - Only way to detect <u>acyclovir resistant</u> HSV



Guideline updates – serologic HSV testing

- Useful
- Recurrent or atypical genital symptoms or lesions with a negative HSV PCR or culture result
- Clinical diagnosis of genital herpes without laboratory confirmation
- 12 weeks after suspected recent acquisition
- Patient's partner has genital herpes
- Might be useful
- Persons at higher risk for infection (presenting for STI evaluation—10 or more lifetime sex partners)
- Persons with HIV
- Not useful
- Screening of the general population

Two-Step Serologic Testing

Step 1: EIA Assay (IgG)* (often falsely positive at low index value (<3.0)

Positive EIA

Step 2: Confirm with a second test that uses a different antigen (Biokit/Western blot)

*IgM is not recommended for serologic testing



What treatment would you offer Jill?

- A: Valacyclovir 1 g PO twice daily x 7-10 days
- B: Valacyclovir 1 gram PO daily x 5 days
- C: Valacylovir 2 grams PO twice daily x 1 day
- D: Acyclovir 10 mg/kg IV q 8 hours
- E: Valacyclovir 1 gram daily indefinitely
- F: Transplant of the spinal dorsal nerve root ganglia



Treatment Options

All patients with <u>first episodes</u> of genital herpes should receive antiviral therapy

- 1. Acyclovir 400 mg orally 3 times/day for 7–10 days
- 2. Famciclovir 250 mg orally 3 times/day for 7–10 days
- 3. Valacyclovir 1 gm orally 2 times/day for 7–10 days

Treatment can be extended if healing is incomplete after 10 days of therapy.



Treating/Preventing Recurrences

- Episodic/Intermittent therapy ameliorate or shorten the duration of lesions
 - Recurrences are less frequent after the first episode of HSV-1 genital herpes, and genital shedding rapidly decreases during the first year of infection
- Suppressive therapy reduce the frequency of recurrences
 - Almost all persons with symptomatic first-episode HSV-2 genital herpes subsequently experience recurrent episodes of genital lesions
 - Suppressive therapy can decrease recurrence rate by 70-80% in those with frequent episodes
 - May confer benefits for preventing transmission (more later)



Antiviral Options

Suppressive


Intermittent

Recommended Regimens

Acyclovir 400 mg orally 2 times/day
OR
Valacyclovir 500 mg orally once a day*
OR
Valacyclovir 1 gm orally once a day
OR
Famciclovir 250 mg orally 2 times/day

* Valacyclovir 500 mg once a day might be less effective than other valacyclovir or acyclovir dosing regimens for persons who have frequent recurrences (i.e., ≥10 episodes/year).

Dose and/or duration are increased for immunosuppressed people: e.g. valacyclovir 1 gram BID x 7-10 days (intermittent), valacyclovir 500 mg BID for suppression





What treatment would you offer Jill?

- A: Valacyclovir 1 g PO twice daily x 7-10 days
- B: Valacyclovir 1 gram PO daily x 5 days
- C: Valacylovir 2 grams PO twice daily x 1 day
- D: Acyclovir 10 mg/kg IV q 8 hours
- E: Valacyclovir 1 gram daily indefinitely
- F: Transplant of the spinal dorsal nerve root ganglia



Preventing Transmission

- Daily valacyclovir lowers risk of HSV-2 transmission from HIV-negative people with symptomatic genital herpes (approx. 50%)
 - Unknown if true for those without a history of symptoms. Not effective/recommended for people with HIV not on ART
- Condoms decrease, but don't eliminate, risk for HSV-2 transmission
- Male medical circumcision
- Caution against HSV acquisition during pregnancy avoid genital and/or oral sex with partners who have history of orolabial or genital herpes in 3rd trimester, monitor closely peri-delivery
- Pregnant patients with a history of genital herpes should be offered suppression starting at 36 weeks to decrease risk of recurrence during delivery, c-section rate, and asymptomatic shedding



- Corey L, Wald A, Patel R, Sacks SL, Tyring SK, Warren T, Douglas JM Jr, Paavonen J, Morrow RA, Beutner KR, Stratchounsky LS, Mertz G, Keene ON, Watson HA, Tait D, Vargas-Cortes M; Valacyclovir HSV Transmission Study Group. Once-daily valacyclovir to reduce the risk of transmission of genital herpes. N Engl J Med. 2004 Jan 1;350(1):11-20
- Urato AC. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 220: Management of Genital Herpes in Pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol. 2020
 Oct;136(4):850-851

HSV patient counseling

- People with a history of genital herpes are recommended to disclose to prospective sex partners
- Transmission more likely with active lesions, but can occur during asymptomatic periods of viral shedding
- Serology cannot determine whether someone is infected orally, genitally, or both
 - HSV-1 is an increasing cause of genital herpes among young women and MSM
- HSV-2 transmission reduced, but not eliminated, by male condom use and valacyclovir suppression (among people without HIV only)
- Suppression not proven to reduce risk of transmission of HSV-1
- People with HSV-2 are at increased risk of acquiring HIV when exposed via sexual contact



HSV takeaway points

- When possible, HSV diagnosis should be confirmed with PCR testing from an active lesion
- Serology may help support the diagnosis w/o active lesions, but is not conclusive
- All patients with a first episode of HSV should get antiviral treatment
- Subsequent outbreaks can be treated with episodic or suppressive therapy
 - Suppressive typically used for those with frequent outbreaks



HSV takeaway points

- HSV 1 is an increasingly common cause of genital herpes, especially among younger people, but typically causes fewer outbreaks and less viral shedding
- HSV 2 causes more frequent outbreaks and increases the risk of HIV transmission.
- Suppressive therapy can reduce outbreak frequency in all patients, and reduce the chances of HSV-2 transmission among people without HIV



Resources

- American Society for Sexual Health patient education materials
 - Patient handouts in English and Spanish for most STIs, including Mgen and HSV
 - https://www.ashapublications.org/patient-education-materials
- CDC STI 2021 guidelines include patient counseling points for genital herpes
 - https://www.cdc.gov/std/treatment-guidelines/herpes.htm



Testy business

Josh is a 54M presenting with 3 days of testicular pain and swelling

- Onset over the course of a day, no preceding trauma
- + dysuria, no urethral discharge
- PMH: HTN, DM2 on metformin and empagliflozin, PrEP. No allergies
- MSM, insertive and receptive anal sex, gives and receives oral sex. 2
 partners in last 6 months, uses condoms with new partners, not
 primary partner




Physical Exam

- R-sided scrotal erythema and swelling
- R testicle in normal vertical position
- Exquisitely tender with palpable localized swelling along posterior aspect and in spermatic cord
- Cremasteric reflex intact




What Is Our Differential Diagnosis?





Epididymo-orchitis





Epididymitis/epididymo-orchitis - Presentation

- Symptoms:
 - Unilateral testicular pain/swelling.
 - Onset typically acute, sometimes chronic, but not sudden.
 - Concurrent dysuria is typical
- Exam: hydrocele, swelling and tenderness of epididymis/testis (tail -> head -> testicle) and spermatic cord
 - Testicle in normal position (not horizontal)



What Is Our Differential Diagnosis?

- Acute (<6 weeks)
 - STI: N. gonorrhea, chlamydia, Mgen
 - Younger***, sexually active
 - Enteric pathogens: E coli, etc
 - Older***, urinary obstruction or instrumentation, insertive anal sex

- . Chronic
 - Infections: MTB
 - Post-infectious pain
 - Drug-induced: amiodarone
 - Inflammatory: Behcet's

Hyperacute/sudden onset: don't forget to consider testicular torsion!



What Diagnostics Do We Want To Send?

Work-up:

Urine gonorrhea/chlamydia NAAT

UA with microscopy and reflex culture

Comprehensive STI testing





What treatment should we start for Josh?

A. CTX 500 mg x 1 + doxycycline 100 mg BID x 7 days

B. CTX 500 mg x 1 + levofloxacin 500 mg daily x 10 days

C. Levofloxacin 500 mg daily x 10 days

D. CTX 500 mg + doxycycline 100 mg BID x 7d + levofloxacin 500 mg daily x 10d

E. No treatment, wait for results of testing



What treatment should we start for Josh?

- A. CTX 500 mg x 1 + doxycycline 100 mg BID x 7 days
 - Sexually active, no risk for enteric pathogens (no insertive anal sex, no history or suspicion of urinary tract obstruction/instrumentation)
- B. CTX 500 mg x 1 + levofloxacin 500 mg daily x 10 days
 - Sexually active with risk for enteric pathogen
- C. Levofloxacin 500 mg daily x 10 days
 - Not sexually active (alt TMP-SMX 1 DS tab BID)
- D. CTX 500 mg + doxycycline 100 mg BID x 7d + levofloxacin 500 mg daily x 10d
 - Duplicates therapy for chlamydia
- E. No treatment, wait for results of testing
 - Empiric treatment recommended while awaiting results



Josh's test results

Work-up:

Urine gonorrhea/chlamydia NAAT (-)

UA with microscopy and reflex culture: Proteus vulgaris, pansusceptible

Comprehensive STI testing (-)





Follow up/supportive care

- Tailor antibiotics to urine culture/NAATs
- Scrotal elevation, NSAIDs
- Counsel regarding potential for prolonged time to resolution of discomfort (up to weeks)
- Follow up testing for negative results with persistent symptoms (e.g. Mgen NAAT, urology referral)



What's new in epididymitis?

Treatment of Acute Epididymitis: A Systematic Review and Discussion of the Implications for Treatment Based on Etiology

Louette, Aaron*; Krahn, Jessica*; Caine, Vera PhD*; Ha, Shalane MSc[†]; Lau, Tim T. Y. PharmD[‡]; Singh, Ameeta E. BMBS, MSc[§] Author Information \checkmark



Sexually Transmitted Diseases

December 2018 , Volume 45 (12), p e104 – e108

- Systematic review of evidence around treatment of epididymitis from 2006-2017
- Included all experimental and observational studies that described etiology and treatment (French/English)
- 1 study met inclusion criteria
- Retrospective review from 2 STI clinics in Ottowa of epididymitis due to G/C
- 57 patients, 42 chlamydia, 9 gonorrhea, 6 co-infection
- 2 NG treatment failure, no elevated MIC



Digging into etiology



European Urology

Volume 68, Issue 3, September 2015, Pages 428-435



Platinum Priority – Infections

Editorial by Jean-Nicolas Cornu and Franck Bruyère on pp. 436–437 of this issue

Acute Epididymitis Revisited: Impact of Molecular Diagnostics on Etiology and Contemporary Guideline Recommendations




Adrian Pilatz ^a ^A ^B, Hamid Hossain ^b, Rolf Kaiser ^c, Annette Mankertz ^d, Christian G. Schüttler ^e, Eugen Domann ^b, Hans-Christian Schuppe ^a, Trinad Chakraborty ^b, Wolfgang Weidner ^a, Florian Wagenlehner ^a

- 2007-2013 137 patients with acute epididymitis
 - Compared 150 antibiotic-naïve, 87 pretreated
- All with cultures, STI testing (if sexually active), 16s rDNA analysis if cx neg, and multiplex viral PCR if no other +
- Median age 50s, 55 vs 59% sexually active



Digging into etiology (cont.)

| STI-PCR in all sexually active patients | n = 89 | n = 48 |
|--|--------|-----------------|
| Chlamydia trachomatis, n | 20 | 5 |
| Mycoplasma spp, n | 7 | 1 |
| Neisseria gonorrhoeae, n | 2 | 4 |
| Sexually active patients with positive STI, n | 28 | 9† |
| Patients with negative culture and negative STI-PCR, n | 29 | 57 |
| 16S rDNA analysis in culture- and STI-negative patients | n = 29 | n = 57 |
| Escherichia coli, n | 0 | 8 |
| Proteus spp, n | 0 | 2 |
| Staphylococcus epidermidis, n | 0 | 1 |
| Aerococcus spp, n | 0 | 1 |
| Propionibacterium spp, n | 0 | 1 |
| Haemophilus spp, n | 5 | 1 |
| Lactobacillus spp, n | 2 | 0 |
| Bacteroides spp, n | 1 | 0 |
| Eubacterium spp, n | 1 | 0 |
| Patients with positive 16S rDNA analysis, n | 9 | 14 [‡] |
| | | |





Epididymitis/epididymo-orchitis take-home

- Onset is acute, but not sudden (don't forget torsion!)
- Unilateral, often (but not always) with dysuria. Pain/swelling of epididymis +/testicle
- DDx:
 - STI: GC, CT, Mgen
 - Enteric: E coli, Klebsiella, etc.
 - Others: H flu, enteroviruses?
- Testing: Urine G/C NAAT +/- Mgen NAAT, UA with microscopy and culture
- Treatment: add levofloxacin instead of doxy to CTX if suspicion for enteric + STI
- NSAIDs/scrotal elevation -- symptom resolution may be slow

